WEBVTT – This file was automatically generated by VIMEO 0 00:00:01.590 --> 00:00:05.440 Well, welcome back everybody. Um, it is now guarter past two, 1 00:00:05.580 --> 00:00:08.520 so the hearing is going to resume. Um, 2 00:00:08.630 --> 00:00:13.360 what I'm gonna suggest to help hopefully speed things up a little bit, when, 3 00:00:13.700 --> 00:00:17.960 um, witnesses this afternoon are being introduced for the first time. 4 00:00:18.440 --> 00:00:22.200 I think if we can just take the name, uh, and the, the discipline, 5 00:00:22.200 --> 00:00:26.120 but we'll go no further than that. I think we can take it for red, um, um, 6 00:00:26.150 --> 00:00:28.840 that whoever has experience in their sphere. 7 00:00:29.460 --> 00:00:34.200 But what we will then ask is that a note coming from each of the ipss 8 00:00:34.550 --> 00:00:37.240 just listing who their, um, witnesses are. g 00:00:38.020 --> 00:00:41.280 And that'll probably be useful for all of the witnesses that have already, uh, 10 00:00:41.280 --> 00:00:43.120 given evidence, uh, earlier today. 11 00:00:51.580 --> 00:00:56.080 Um, I presume everybody in the room can certainly hear me. Yep.

12 00:00:56.700 --> 00:01:01.600 Can I just check with, um, those participating online, 13 00:01:02.020 --> 00:01:06.480 um, that you can still see and hear the room if somebody can indicate by showing 14 00:01:06.750 --> 00:01:10.560 your hand or several of you got one hand showing and Yep. 15 00:01:10.870 --> 00:01:11.760 That all seems fine. 16 00:01:20.630 --> 00:01:24.760 Okay. Therefore, I'd like to turn to agenda item four, uh, 17 00:01:24.760 --> 00:01:29.720 effects on the integrity of the Humber Ery special area of 18 00:01:29.720 --> 00:01:33.880 conservation protection or special protection area for and Ramsar site. 19 00:01:34.580 --> 00:01:37.440 Um, for sure. I think we'll just call them the designated sites. 20 00:01:37.520 --> 00:01:41.800 I think we probably all know what we're talking about. Um, 21 00:01:43.660 - > 00:01:48.600Did anybody from the applicant's team get the opportunity to quickly look at the 22 00:01:48.600 --> 00:01:49.600 Natural England submissions 23 00:01:53.370 --> 00:01:56.560 James drawn for a b P? Yes, sir, we did. And 24 00:01:57.140 --> 00:01:59.640

Am I generally right in, um, 25 00:01:59.640 --> 00:02:03.080 coming to the view that they seem to be, 26 00:02:03.430 --> 00:02:06.120 they seem to have fewer concerns, 27 00:02:07.080 --> 00:02:11.160 albeit the spreadsheet is actually quite difficult to read because for some of 28 00:02:11.160 --> 00:02:15.240 the concerns they seem to have green and amber side byside and I wasn't quite 29 00:02:15.240 --> 00:02:16.320 sure what that meant. 30 00:02:17.780 --> 00:02:21.160 Uh, so yes, you are, you are right that, well, 31 00:02:21.180 --> 00:02:23.480 at least that reflects our own understanding. 32 00:02:23.580 --> 00:02:27.840 And what I'm told is the ongoing, uh, 33 00:02:27.840 --> 00:02:31.800 discussions with Natural England, uh, and yes, 34 00:02:31.860 --> 00:02:36.280 you are right that there are Ambers that have gone to green. 35 00:02:37.290 --> 00:02:40.600 There is, I, I've certainly struggled to understand it myself. 36 00:02:40.600 --> 00:02:45.240 There are still Ambers beside on the right where the commentary suggests that

37

00:02:45.240 --> 00:02:46.200 it's gone green. 38 00:02:46.800 --> 00:02:51.800 I believe that some of that may simply be a residual comment 39 00:02:52.270 --> 00:02:57.120 that the information that's been referred to they want to see reflected 40 00:02:57.120 --> 00:03:01.840 in the shadow what would be a shadow H r a habitat 41 00:03:01.840 --> 00:03:06.520 regulations assessment rather than a re a remaining 42 00:03:06.760 --> 00:03:09.720 residual concern. But, um, that, 43 00:03:09.790 --> 00:03:14.640 that is my understanding and I'm not speaking obviously for 44 00:03:14.670 --> 00:03:18.840 Natural England in that respect. And, and we, 45 00:03:18.900 --> 00:03:23.280 we have had an opportunity to review what they've said, 46 00:03:24.180 --> 00:03:26.920 and certainly there are yes, uh, 47 00:03:27.080 --> 00:03:30.960 a reduction in the number of issues which reflects the discussions. 48 00:03:31.740 --> 00:03:32.600 And we, 49 00:03:34.740 --> 00:03:37.840 our own understanding is that the remaining items 50 00:03:39.540 --> 00:03:42.920

for discussion are actually relatively few and far between. 51 00:03:43.480 --> 00:03:48.480 I can give you a broad indication provided it's not taken as us speaking for 52 00:03:48.480 --> 00:03:49.400 Natural Englander. But 53 00:03:50.060 --> 00:03:53.440 No, I, I think at this stage that will probably suffice. 54 00:03:53.600 --> 00:03:57.280 I think everybody is going to need to sit down and digest, uh, 55 00:03:57.300 --> 00:04:00.080 and we might even have to go back to Natural England and say, 56 00:04:00.460 --> 00:04:05.120 can you express the changes a little more clearly? Because it, it, 57 00:04:05.220 --> 00:04:07.240 it certainly on first sight, it, 58 00:04:07.260 --> 00:04:11.760 it wasn't clear precisely what they meant and the supporting letter didn't 59 00:04:11.760 --> 00:04:14.720 really, uh, provide much assistance. 60 00:04:17.460 --> 00:04:20.200 Um, but I think on a, on a, on a general point, it, 61 00:04:20.220 --> 00:04:24.400 it appears that there is an open dialogue with Natural England still ongoing 62 00:04:24.400 --> 00:04:29.280 with the applicant. Um, and things are still evolving. Um,

63

00:04:30.740 --> 00:04:31.080 um, 64 00:04:31.080 --> 00:04:34.720 I suppose we just have to watch that space in terms of any further submissions 65 00:04:34.720 --> 00:04:37.440 that Natural England make in due course. 66 00:04:38.980 --> 00:04:41.560 Uh, James TRO for a v p? Yes. Uh, we, we, 67 00:04:41.740 --> 00:04:46.520 if I put it as neutral as possible, we are, we are waiting to hear from, 68 00:04:46.820 --> 00:04:49.200 uh, both Natural England and M M O. 69 00:04:52.450 --> 00:04:53.350 Uh, excuse me. 70 00:04:53.580 --> 00:04:58.470 Does any IP wanna raise anything in respect of the correspondence that you 71 00:04:58.530 --> 00:05:03.150 may or may not yet have had the opportunity to look at? Um, looking at C L D N 72 00:05:04.580 - > 00:05:08.430Rose Grogan for C L D N, sir, we've had a look, 73 00:05:08.730 --> 00:05:10.990 but similar to you and the applicant, 74 00:05:11.140 --> 00:05:16.110 it's taken us a while to understand quite what the status of some actions 75 00:05:16.370 --> 00:05:18.870

are. We think, um, 76 00:05:19.210 --> 00:05:22.230 but it's something we'll need to address in writing rather than orally today 77 00:05:22.380 --> 00:05:26.790 that the issues that we have raised in our relevant rep are still issues that 78 00:05:26.790 --> 00:05:31.110 Natural England are concerned about, so they're not green. Um, 79 00:05:31.490 --> 00:05:33.230 but as I say, I think we'll need to take it away, 80 00:05:33.460 --> 00:05:35.270 have a think and help you out in writing. 81 00:05:36.730 --> 00:05:37.950 And for D F D Ss 82 00:05:38.950 --> 00:05:42.710 Isabella to, for D F D S, nothing further from us at this stage. Thank you. 83 00:05:45.570 --> 00:05:49.710 And I'm not sure any of the other ips have got a particular issue in, in that. 84 00:05:49.930 --> 00:05:54.350 No. Okay. Okay. In fact, what, 85 00:05:54.380 --> 00:05:56.830 what all of that means is, I, I only have I think, 86 00:05:56.830 --> 00:06:01.760 two or three questions for this session, um, turning to the applicant first, 87 00:06:03.140 --> 00:06:07.840 um, in terms of the assessment of the impact of the proposed

development on the 88 00:06:07.970 --> 00:06:12.040 intertidal habitat, um, within the designated sites, uh, 89 00:06:12.100 --> 00:06:14.080 can you please explain, um, 90 00:06:15.140 --> 00:06:18.280 how the losses of N point naught naught, 91 00:06:18.420 --> 00:06:21.880 six hectares arising each from the proposed capital, 92 00:06:21.920 --> 00:06:25.840 dredging and piling have been derived? Uh, and I, 93 00:06:26.000 --> 00:06:30.160 I get those numbers from paragraph 9.8 0.13 94 00:06:31.100 --> 00:06:35.120 in chapter nine of the environmental statement, which is a P 95 00:06:36.670 --> 00:06:41.600 0 4 5. And I count that that quick query, um, 96 00:06:42.100 --> 00:06:46.200 in terms of the capital dredge area being of the order of 97 00:06:46.630 --> 00:06:51.320 70,000 square meters or seven hectares. Um, 98 00:06:53.380 --> 00:06:57.800 now you may not be able to do it here, but we certainly will, will want it done, 99 00:06:58.340 --> 00:07:02.720 um, in writing. Um, it, it would assist, I think, 100 00:07:02.820 --> 00:07:05.560 if by reference to a plan, um,

101 00:07:05.580 --> 00:07:08.440 the applicant can show the precise locations for the, 102 00:07:08.440 --> 00:07:12.480 the dut loss due to capital dredging and piling, 103 00:07:13.580 - > 00:07:18.560um, and any boundaries, uh, that, that might be between different habitat types, 104 00:07:19.460 --> 00:07:22.080 uh, within the capital dredging area, because that certainly, 105 00:07:22.080 --> 00:07:24.400 from the information that's submitted, is unclear. 106 00:07:25.380 --> 00:07:28.360 And part of the reason I'm raising the question in, 107 00:07:28.540 --> 00:07:33.520 in these terms is that in CDNs, um, relevant rep, 108 00:07:33.580 --> 00:07:37.560 and I should have noted down the number, and I I didn't, um, 109 00:07:38.260 --> 00:07:43.000 but C L D N have referred to a habitat loss of 1.65 hectares, 110 00:07:43.370 --> 00:07:47.280 which is several orders of magnitude different, um, 111 00:07:47.740 --> 00:07:51.800 to the number that's been quoted in the environmental statement. So, 112 00:07:51.800 --> 00:07:53.080 turning to the applicant first, 113 00:07:56.380 --> 00:08:00.800 Uh, yes, sir, I'm going to ask Mr.

114 00:08:01.110 --> 00:08:05.680 Andy Pearson of A B P M Merh 115 00:08:06.420 --> 00:08:09.080 to answer that question. Uh, 116 00:08:09.150 --> 00:08:13.680 he's the principal marine ecologist and will send you his details as you've 117 00:08:13.680 --> 00:08:17.440 requested, uh, after the, uh, session. 118 00:08:18.820 --> 00:08:20.160 But I'll hand over to Mr. 119 00:08:20.190 --> 00:08:24.600 Pearson for the basis for that calculation. And, and, 120 00:08:24.600 --> 00:08:26.760 and just to be clear, your your question, 121 00:08:27.080 --> 00:08:31.720 I believe relates to the intertidal habitat loss. Yeah, 122 00:08:34.110 --> 00:08:38.640 Yeah. I'm Andy Pearson, um, for a b p. Um, yeah, so just, 123 00:08:38.640 --> 00:08:43.200 just to clarify, on the 1.65 hectares of loss, that was, 124 00:08:43.580 --> 00:08:48.080 uh, a, a loss that we were ex we were predicting to happen. Um, 125 00:08:48.420 --> 00:08:52.040 but that the scheme design has now changed. So that was assessed in the pier, 126 00:08:52.300 --> 00:08:56.120 but it's now being updated. So if I run you through, um,

127 00:08:56.630 --> 00:09:01.400 what the current loss is, and I'll break it down, um, into the, uh, into, 128 00:09:01.430 --> 00:09:05.160 into the different elements. So the, the total intertidal loss, 129 00:09:05.730 - > 00:09:10.600which which we just predicted is nor point naught, two two hectares. Um, 130 00:09:11.100 --> 00:09:15.920 and that involves, um, that will, part of that is a, um, 131 00:09:15.920 --> 00:09:19.240 capital dredging, which will cause a direct loss of nor point, 132 00:09:19.380 --> 00:09:23.320 nor nor six hectares. Um, that loss will be, um, 133 00:09:23.510 --> 00:09:27.400 mudflat loss on the lower shore, and that's due to the capital judging, 134 00:09:27.840 --> 00:09:31.600 changing the habitat from subtitle habitat to intertidal habitat. 135 00:09:33.980 --> 00:09:35.960 The piles will cause, uh, 136 00:09:36.040 - > 00:09:40.520a direct loss of N point N six hectares of intertidal mud flat. 137 00:09:43.710 --> 00:09:44.980 Could you repeat that figure? 138 00:09:45.570 --> 00:09:49.940 Yeah. It, uh, n point n n six hectares of intertidal mud flat, 139 00:09:50.490 --> 00:09:53.620 That was for the capital dredge. What was the second figure? It's

the same. 140 00:09:53.760 --> 00:09:54.210 Say it again. 141 00:09:54.210 --> 00:09:58.800 Yeah, it, it, it's the same figure for both of them. And then finally, 142 00:09:59.460 --> 00:10:04.200 the capital dredging and the marine infrastructure will cause an 143 00:10:04.200 --> 00:10:08.880 indirect loss as a result of erosion of North point N 144 00:10:09.060 --> 00:10:12.560 one hectares of, um, intertidal mudflat. 145 00:10:14.170 --> 00:10:18.400 Again, the indirect loss is lower elevation, lower shore habitat. 146 00:10:19.260 --> 00:10:23.000 So it's not, it, it, when that, 147 00:10:23.110 --> 00:10:26.240 that ar that ar that area. It, it, um, 148 00:10:26.710 --> 00:10:30.240 it's right down at the com at the bottom of the foreshore. 149 $00:10:30.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.600$ And in terms of types of habitats, it's all mud, 150 00:10:33.700 --> 00:10:38.640 mud flood habitat that we're looking at here as well. Um, so, 151 00:10:38.640 --> 00:10:41.160 so to give you some context, the combined loss, 152 00:10:41.260 --> 00:10:45.720 so the combined loss of NW point N two two hectares represents,

153 00:10:46.660 --> 00:10:51.640 uh, a negligible amount of the Humber Esry, S B A and Ramsar. Um, 154 00:10:51.800 --> 00:10:56.440 I can give you the value for that if that's helpful. Um, but it's, it's a very, 155 00:10:56.440 --> 00:10:58.360 very small proportion. Um, 156 00:10:58.900 --> 00:11:02.720 and it also represents a negligible loss in terms of SS b a and Ramsar 157 00:11:02.720 --> 00:11:04.000 supporting habitat as well. 158 00:11:05.360 --> 00:11:08.880 I think on the basis you, you'll, uh, be providing the this in, 159 00:11:08.940 --> 00:11:09.840 in writing after the, 160 00:11:17.820 --> 00:11:20.800 And certainly when the written submission is made, 161 00:11:20.880 --> 00:11:25.680 I think we would like to see a plan accompanying that, that clearly shows, 162 00:11:26.180 --> 00:11:30.160 um, the affected habitat, identifying it relative to, 163 00:11:30.580 --> 00:11:32.320 to the scheme. Um, 164 00:11:36.260 --> 00:11:38.360 so turning to C L D N, 165 00:11:40.180 --> 00:11:40.830

Uh, 166 00:11:40.830 --> 00:11:41.663 Does, 167 00:11:41.950 --> 00:11:44.200 Does that explanation, um, 168 00:11:45.180 --> 00:11:49.840 assist in understanding just how much habitat is affected? It appears, 169 00:11:49.900 --> 00:11:51.080 and we, 170 00:11:51.140 --> 00:11:55.760 we assumed it might have been the case that the 1.65 Hector 171 00:11:55.860 --> 00:12:00.040 figure had come from what was the original for birth scheme 172 00:12:00.530 --> 00:12:03.760 prior to the amendments being made? Pre-app, 173 00:12:04.940 --> 00:12:09.760 Uh, rose Grogan for C L D N, I have our ecologist Louise Bridges, 174 00:12:10.060 --> 00:12:14.120 um, on the live link. Um, 175 00:12:14.500 - > 00:12:16.920so I will, so she's from A P E M. 176 00:12:19.280 --> 00:12:23.760 I will hand over to her if she's able to come off mute and, um, 177 00:12:24.110 --> 00:12:28.800 comment, but if she's not able to come off, oh, there she is. It's working. 178 00:12:32.140 --> 00:12:36.640

Hi, uh, Louise Bridges, uh, from a limited representing, uh, 179 00:12:36.880 --> 00:12:38.320 CLDN, um, 180 00:12:39.020 --> 00:12:42.040 I'm content that that explains the difference in the, 181 00:12:42.140 --> 00:12:45.800 in the habitat loss I was aware of, of the, of the redesign. 182 00:12:56.930 --> 00:12:58.280 Thank you, Ms. Bruises. That's helpful. 183 00:13:12.150 --> 00:13:12.983 Don't worry about that. 184 00:13:14.220 --> 00:13:17.460 I, I think what I'm gonna suggest is a post-hearing, um, 185 00:13:18.000 --> 00:13:21.260 action if you like for C L D N, um, 186 00:13:21.800 --> 00:13:23.540 is possibly to ask you to confirm 187 00:13:25.170 --> 00:13:29.900 your position now with respect, um, to the significance, 188 00:13:31.160 --> 00:13:34.620 um, of, of, of the habitat loss that, uh, 189 00:13:34.920 --> 00:13:37.740 the applicant has identified. Um, 190 00:13:38.340 --> 00:13:41.500 'cause as I said in opening that that's quite a marked difference, um, 191 00:13:41.850 --> 00:13:46.500 between in effect not point. Let's get the notes right,

192 00:13:46.560 --> 00:13:50.180 nor 0.12 versus 1.65 hectares, 193 00:13:54.160 --> 00:13:57.780 Uh, rose Grogan for C LD n Yes. We'll take that away. Um, 194 00:14:00.840 - > 00:14:05.820Before I, in effect, um, move on from this guestion, 195 00:14:05.890 --> 00:14:07.700 does any other IP have a, 196 00:14:07.720 --> 00:14:11.220 any comment to make about the HAP habitat as point 197 00:14:14.120 --> 00:14:17.140 not seeing anything? Okay, I think that answers the question. 198 00:14:17.360 --> 00:14:19.220 The key to that is, um, 199 00:14:19.220 --> 00:14:22.900 just confirmation in writing and the plan that clearly shows the location. 200 00:14:29.790 --> 00:14:31.610 Now I'm going to ask this question. 201 00:14:32.000 --> 00:14:36.370 Even though natural England and or maritime management organization 202 00:14:37.030 --> 00:14:37.863 may be, um, 203 00:14:39.670 --> 00:14:42.290 in a better position in terms of understanding what's going on, 204 00:14:42.290 --> 00:14:45.850 but the examining authority currently is not, um,

205 00:14:50.110 --> 00:14:54.520 in terms of sequencing of works particularly piling, 206 00:14:56.020 --> 00:15:00.920 um, would the piling for the proposed approach jetty and, 207 00:15:01.340 --> 00:15:02.173 um, 208 00:15:03.020 --> 00:15:07.410 the births be undertaken separately or concurrently? 209 00:15:09.370 --> 00:15:14.310 And what implications would separate or concurrent piling have for 210 00:15:14.310 --> 00:15:19.230 the nu the number of piling rigs deployed at any one time and 211 00:15:19.230 --> 00:15:21.990 the dur duration of the noise within the river 212 00:15:33.110 --> 00:15:37.540 James drawn for a v p? I'm going to hand over to Dr. Elena and Martin, 213 00:15:38.520 --> 00:15:43.380 um, who is another principal Marine environmental consultant at A B PMER. 214 00:15:43.920 --> 00:15:45.660 Uh, the reason for that, of course, 215 00:15:46.120 --> 00:15:50.660 is that that relates to issues of noise or underground, 216 00:15:51.070 --> 00:15:55.540 sorry, underwater noise amongst other things I'm gonna, and, uh, 217 00:15:55.570 --> 00:15:59.020 that is her area of specialism. Can I

218 00:16:02.280 --> 00:16:03.580 Do that, grace? 219 00:16:04.240 --> 00:16:06.300 The reason we've asked the question is, 220 00:16:06.360 --> 00:16:10.740 having seen the relevant reps from both Natural England and, uh, M M 0, 221 00:16:11.370 --> 00:16:15.580 they seem to be, um, unclear as to what was happening, um, 222 00:16:15.720 --> 00:16:19.500 and just how much noise there might be and when there might be. 223 00:16:20.040 --> 00:16:21.900 So it's against that context. 224 00:16:23.320 --> 00:16:27.700 So Elena SanMar, um, for a b p, um, so, 225 00:16:28.160 --> 00:16:31.020 uh, for the underwater noise modeling, we, um, 226 00:16:31.210 --> 00:16:34.260 assumed a maximum piling scenario, 227 00:16:34.410 --> 00:16:38.980 four piles per day, which is an absolute worst case. Um, 228 00:16:39.280 --> 00:16:43.020 and for that to be undertaken by four piling rigs, 229 00:16:43.840 --> 00:16:48.780 the way we represented that in the model was to consider where those piling 230 00:16:48.810 --> 00:16:52.780 rigs were located in relation to the esry and the furthest most point into the

231 00:16:52.900 --> 00:16:56.460 esry to understand how far those effects would, um, 232 00:16:57.090 --> 00:17:01.260 propagate into the estuary. We also considered, um, 233 00:17:02.680 - > 00:17:06.300uh, part of the modeling involves looking at the cumulative noise levels, 234 00:17:06.590 --> 00:17:10.540 cumulative, uh, um, s e l, uh, 235 00:17:10.540 --> 00:17:12.380 which is a metric of underwater noise. 236 00:17:12.760 --> 00:17:16.100 And that involved understanding the number of pass strikes per day, 237 00:17:16.100 --> 00:17:19.100 which took into account the fact that there were gonna be four piling rigs for 238 00:17:19.960 --> 00:17:24.060 up to four piles per day. So we have considered four pi, 239 00:17:24.130 --> 00:17:26.580 four piling rigs, four piles. 240 $00:17:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.980$ The peak level of noise takes account of two piles being 241 00:17:32.300 --> 00:17:35.540 hammered at exactly the same time, because although there's four piling rigs, 242 00:17:36.280 --> 00:17:40.380 the likelihood that they would hammer at exactly the same second is very,

243

00:17:40.380 --> 00:17:44.460 very low. And we didn't consider that to be a, a reasonable worst case. 244 00:17:44.760 --> 00:17:48.980 So we've, um, we assumed the peak, uh, 245 00:17:49.370 --> 00:17:52.240 sound pressure level measurement was a two, 246 00:17:52.580 --> 00:17:54.800 two piles being had at exactly the same time. 247 00:17:55.260 --> 00:17:58.600 And it's just a different way of modeling noise as well as the cumulative s e l. 248 00:17:59.420 --> 00:18:03.120 Um, and we explained this to, uh, 249 00:18:03.260 --> 00:18:06.080 the M M O and their advisors, their scientific advisors, 250 00:18:06.240 --> 00:18:11.240 c FFA ss in a meeting and also in a signposting document, which we've, um, 251 00:18:11.800 --> 00:18:14.360 provided to them. We haven't had their response, 252 00:18:14.420 --> 00:18:17.200 but the initial indication from the meeting was that they, 253 00:18:18.070 --> 00:18:21.280 they were fairly comfortable with that and that, but obviously we, we, 254 00:18:21.340 --> 00:18:23.400 we don't have that in writing. Um, 255 00:18:25.360 --> 00:18:26.600 I think that covers everything.

256 00:18:40.500 --> 00:18:44.440 Uh, thank you, Dr. Sam Martin. I think that takes us as far as we can, 257 00:18:44.460 --> 00:18:47.120 it certainly, it's helped my understanding, I'm, 258 00:18:47.580 --> 00:18:49.200 I'm fairly comfortable with noise, 259 00:18:49.480 --> 00:18:51.920 albeit on land rather than in the marina environment, 260 00:18:51.920 --> 00:18:55.840 just because of the nature of work that I've undertaken in the past. Um, 261 00:18:55.860 --> 00:19:00.120 but I definitely was struggling to work out, um, in the first instance, 262 00:19:00.420 --> 00:19:04.160 you know, just what was happening in terms of piling rigs, um, 263 00:19:05.780 --> 00:19:08.320 how many might be deployed. Um, but 264 00:19:10.220 --> 00:19:14.040 it it subject to what others, and I'm gonna ask in a minute, 265 00:19:14.040 --> 00:19:17.480 others might think the, the use if in effect, 266 00:19:17.620 --> 00:19:21.040 basing the modeling on the striking of two piles at once, 267 00:19:22.070 --> 00:19:24.400 even though there might be four rigs on site, 268 00:19:24.630 --> 00:19:28.720

doesn't seem unreasonable in that context. Um, 269 00:19:28.900 --> 00:19:32.360 but turning to the other interested parties, uh, 270 00:19:33.870 --> 00:19:38.280 does any IP wish to make any submission D D F D S? 271 00:19:38.280 --> 00:19:42.000 Certainly not the nod head, C L D N now. 272 00:19:44.750 --> 00:19:47.960 Okay. I think that that resolves that question. Um, 273 00:19:48.540 --> 00:19:53.360 but allied two, um, in relation to, 274 00:19:53.860 --> 00:19:57.920 um, the impact protection measures, which may or may not be implemented, 275 00:19:58.590 --> 00:20:02.320 will be coming to that no doubt later in the afternoon. Um, 276 00:20:03.540 --> 00:20:04.680 can the applicant clarify 277 00:20:06.460 --> 00:20:08.600 the sequencing of those works? 278 00:20:09.530 - > 00:20:13.360Would they be undertaken as part of, um, 279 00:20:13.610 --> 00:20:18.520 phase one or if there's complete build out of the scheme? 280 00:20:19.860 --> 00:20:24.280 Um, in effect that would be phase one, but if there was a phase scheme, 281 00:20:24.330 --> 00:20:29.080

would it form part of the second phase or would it be a separate work 282 00:20:30.960 --> 00:20:34.800 Activity? Or is it at this stage a bit of a scratch the head? 283 00:20:47.580 --> 00:20:52.560 Yes. So, so James Strom for the applicant, uh, 284 00:20:52.620 --> 00:20:52.980 the, 285 00:20:52.980 --> 00:20:57.920 the answer to your question is that it has been assessed and it's 286 00:20:57.920 --> 00:21:00.960 been assessed as if it could occur at any time. 287 00:21:01.340 --> 00:21:02.960 And indeed the 288 00:21:04.770 --> 00:21:08.880 assessment assumes it occurs. I believe at the, 289 00:21:08.940 --> 00:21:13.840 in the worst case scenario, um, I've got from Nate somewhere. 290 00:21:17.010 --> 00:21:17.843 Jamie, 291 00:21:24.530 --> 00:21:25.820 yeah. Construction. 292 00:21:28.600 --> 00:21:29.040 Yes. 293 00:21:29.040 --> 00:21:33.060 It assesses that there's an assessment both of construction occurring all at 294 00:21:33.060 --> 00:21:36.420

once or a sequence of construction. 295 00:21:38.250 --> 00:21:39.140 Yeah, time 296 00:21:39.800 --> 00:21:41.860 And, and I should say at any time of the year. 297 00:21:41.860 --> 00:21:46.300 That's what I mean by worst case scenario because there're different times of 298 00:21:46.300 --> 00:21:48.660 year where it could occur with different effects. 299 00:21:48.930 --> 00:21:52.300 It's the assessment takes account of all times of year, 300 00:21:53.800 --> 00:21:58.700 But still subject to that, uh, that four piling, uh, rig, 301 00:21:58.800 --> 00:21:59.633 uh, scenario, 302 00:22:01.350 --> 00:22:04.260 Elena, maybe four A B P, um, yes, that's correct. 303 00:22:05.440 --> 00:22:09.540 And in the discussions that are taking place with Natural England and M M O, 304 00:22:09.640 --> 00:22:12.940 are they aware, uh, of how, um, 305 00:22:13.920 --> 00:22:17.700 any works for the impact protection measures might fit in? 306 00:22:18.720 --> 00:22:21.660 Um, 'cause I think one of them at least raised in their, 307 00:22:21.660 --> 00:22:26.020

their representation a bit of an uncertainty as to how it was factoring in. 308 00:22:27.240 --> 00:22:29.860 Um, if it's not been made clear to them, 309 00:22:30.100 --> 00:22:34.300 I think we would ask that in the dialogue that you've got going forward, 310 00:22:35.320 --> 00:22:37.220 um, that, that that is addressed 311 00:22:38.910 --> 00:22:42.220 James Strong for a b p our understanding is it has been made clear, 312 00:22:42.240 --> 00:22:45.740 but it we'll make sure that that's their understanding as well. 313 00:22:47.590 --> 00:22:48.980 Thank you. Um, 314 00:22:50.880 --> 00:22:55.690 does any IP in terms of impact piling associated 315 00:22:55.800 --> 00:22:59.530 with the impact protection measures, wanna raise? Any comment? 316 00:23:01.390 --> 00:23:03.130 Not seeing anything. Okay. Thank you. 317 00:23:08.550 --> 00:23:10.800 Alright, the next question is a little bit wordy, 318 00:23:10.800 --> 00:23:12.720 but it's got a bit of background before we actually get to the question. 319 00:23:12.720 --> 00:23:14.080 That's why it's a bit wordy. So,

00:23:18.380 --> 00:23:23.200 and, and it's all about construction program. Um, so the background, 321 00:23:23.780 --> 00:23:28.440 the, the indicative construction program, 322 00:23:28.440 --> 00:23:32.680 whether the proposed development is constructed in a single phase 323 00:23:33.420 --> 00:23:38.240 or two phases, is based on works commencing early in 2024. 324 00:23:39.620 --> 00:23:44.600 Uh, and I find that in paragraph 2.1, one of the, uh, 325 00:23:44.880 --> 00:23:48.880 construction environmental management plan, which is a P 1 1 1, 326 00:23:48.880 --> 00:23:51.000 there's an awful lot of ones in this bit. 327 00:23:53.580 --> 00:23:58.480 The question, um, given the examination period, 328 00:23:58.500 --> 00:24:02.240 and we, we commenced on the 26th, um, 329 00:24:02.290 --> 00:24:05.880 which potentially means that the examination, um, 330 00:24:06.060 - > 00:24:09.840and the reporting period could run through to the 331 00:24:09.840 --> 00:24:14.360 26th of April, 2024. And then, um, 332 00:24:14.820 --> 00:24:17.880 the secretary states this three months period would run through the 333 00:24:17.880 --> 00:24:20.480 26th of July, 2024.

334 00:24:22.630 --> 00:24:25.280 What sort of implications might that have, uh, 335 00:24:25.460 --> 00:24:27.960 for the anticipated construction program, 336 00:24:28.840 --> 00:24:33.640 particularly when account is taken of the seasonality or timing constraints for 337 00:24:33.640 --> 00:24:35.800 working within the designated sites? 338 00:25:12.970 --> 00:25:17.190 Uh, Jamie Oton on behalf of a B P, so in our assessments, 339 00:25:17.330 --> 00:25:20.270 we assess the worst case scenario in that, um, 340 00:25:21.630 --> 00:25:24.110 construction can happen during any time of year, 341 00:25:24.340 --> 00:25:27.990 including sensitive periods for the relevant receptors that we assessed. 342 00:25:29.240 --> 00:25:30.073 Thank you. 343 00:25:38.250 - > 00:25:43.250I think what would assist examining authority and possibly other IEPs is what 344 00:25:43.250 --> 00:25:45.890 we're gonna ask for, um, 345 00:25:46.830 --> 00:25:50.690 in effect is if you can produce construction programs 346 00:25:51.850 --> 00:25:55.370

starting sometime in quarter one, quarter two, quarter three, quarter four, 347 00:25:56.710 --> 00:25:57.543 um, 348 00:25:57.710 --> 00:26:02.650 for a scheme that's either competed in a single phase or two phases, 349 00:26:03.870 --> 00:26:08.250 um, so that we can be clear on, in our own minds, um, 350 00:26:11.370 --> 00:26:15.700 what implications there might be, uh, for those time constraints, 351 00:26:16.400 --> 00:26:20.700 the ecological time constraints, depending on where you start. Um, 352 00:26:23.000 --> 00:26:27.530 Because when you take account of what can be done, um, to take, 353 00:26:27.990 --> 00:26:32.850 to safeguard birds versus what, uh, is required to, uh, 354 00:26:32.850 --> 00:26:36.570 address the protected fish, um, 355 00:26:36.570 --> 00:26:38.170 there is a bit of a conflict in there. 356 00:26:38.380 - > 00:26:42.970There did appear to be some quite short windows of opportunity. Um, 357 00:26:43.280 --> 00:26:46.600 I think it, it would be a useful exercise to see what, what, 358 00:26:46.600 --> 00:26:49.440 what those programming effects if, um, 359 00:26:49.970 --> 00:26:54.600 works have to start say quarter three rather than quarter one. Um,

360 00:26:54.660 --> 00:26:59.280 and what the knock on effect of all that is. That's just how it fits into the, 361 00:27:00.460 --> 00:27:04.560 the, the constrained periods in particular. Is, 362 00:27:04.580 --> 00:27:07.360 is that something that the ACT applicant can prepare, 363 00:27:08.860 --> 00:27:11.680 Uh, James Strom for a b p? Um, absolutely sir. 364 00:27:11.700 --> 00:27:14.120 And we're alive to that very issue. 365 00:27:16.450 --> 00:27:20.920 Thank you. On that sort of timing type point in terms of works within, um, 366 00:27:22.060 --> 00:27:25.480 the designated sites, is there anything from any ipss, 367 00:27:29.560 --> 00:27:33.730 Rose Grogan for C L D N? Uh, could we check briefly with, um, 368 00:27:34.630 --> 00:27:38.810 Louise Bridges, who's on teams, just to see if she has anything to say? 369 00:27:39.280 --> 00:27:40.810 Just before we do, um, 370 00:27:41.060 --> 00:27:45.250 there was mention of a note sent to the marine management organization. Uh, 371 00:27:45.250 --> 00:27:47.650 we think it would be helpful if that could be, um,

372

00:27:48.130 --> 00:27:51.450 provided to the examinations that all parties could see it on the piling issue. 373 00:28:02.030 --> 00:28:04.530 Is your witness there? 374 00:28:04.790 --> 00:28:07.730 Um, she is. Um, there she is, yes. Oh 375 00:28:07.840 --> 00:28:11.890 Yeah, sorry, I was waiting to be invited to speak, but that's fine. Uh, 376 00:28:11.890 --> 00:28:14.890 it's Louise, but is, uh, firstly r d n. Um, 377 00:28:15.970 --> 00:28:20.250 I think the only point I think I'd like some clarity on 378 00:28:20.950 --> 00:28:22.530 is around, um, 379 00:28:23.230 --> 00:28:28.130 the lack of consideration of the Triple S I protected sites, um, 380 00:28:29.110 --> 00:28:33.290 in regards to, um, loss of direct loss of habitat, uh, 381 00:28:33.290 --> 00:28:36.410 and change of change of habitat. Um, 382 00:28:36.790 --> 00:28:41.770 the S P A and the S A C obviously di directly within the Humber have 383 00:28:41.770 --> 00:28:45.130 been considered, but there's no mention of the Humber Tripper site, 384 00:28:45.130 --> 00:28:49.050 and I believe also this was raised by natural England in their relevant

385 00:28:49.050 --> 00:28:49.883 representation 386 00:28:57.540 --> 00:29:00.640 On that point. Is there something from the applicant's team that can respond? 387 00:29:03.770 - > 00:29:06.070Yes. Uh, I'll hand over to Andy Pearson. 388 00:29:08.260 --> 00:29:10.390 Andy Pearson for a b p. Um, 389 00:29:10.690 --> 00:29:15.550 so we assessed the impacts to the triples I within chapter 390 00:29:15.660 --> 00:29:20.270 nine of, of the ES in section 9.8. Um, 391 00:29:20.810 --> 00:29:25.470 and we also provided further clarification to Natural England. Um, with, 392 00:29:25.470 --> 00:29:28.390 with respect to potential impacts on, on triple I features, 393 00:29:28.700 --> 00:29:33.510 it's worth noting that the triple I features with respect to birds and, 394 00:29:33.690 --> 00:29:34.670 and, and, 395 00:29:34.670 --> 00:29:39.270 and fish and mammals are the same features as as, 396 00:29:39.330 --> 00:29:43.640 as you get for the S B A and the S a C as well. Um, but we, 397 00:29:44.140 --> 00:29:47.160 we produced a signposting document which just allowed them to see

the

410

398 00:29:47.160 --> 00:29:49.640 clarification of where, where the potential uh, 399 00:29:49.830 --> 00:29:52.640 effects have been assessed for our, the, um, 400 00:29:53.700 --> 00:29:56.120 shadow H R A and the environmental statements as well. 401 00:30:03.910 --> 00:30:08.440 Does that address the C L D N concern in terms of effects on the, the SS S S I, 402 00:30:11.020 --> 00:30:13.240 Uh, Louise, C L D N? Um, 403 00:30:13.360 --> 00:30:17.360 I will have to reserve comment until I've had a chance to look at the 404 00:30:17.480 --> 00:30:20.760 signposting document, uh, that was provided to Natural England. Um, 405 00:30:21.360 --> 00:30:25.720 assuming it's the same one that was me mentioned for the piling, um, impacts, 406 00:30:27.260 --> 00:30:28.093 um, 407 00:30:33.250 --> 00:30:34.960 James drawn for A B P? No, 408 00:30:35.180 --> 00:30:39.680 the signposting document to the M M O was about piling, 409 00:30:39.990 --> 00:30:43.280 it's a signposting document to natural England, uh,

00:30:43.350 --> 00:30:48.040 that explains where the, as as Mr. Pearson just explained, 411 00:30:48.040 --> 00:30:52.680 chapter nine, 9.8, uh, impacts on the triples I, 412 00:30:54.620 --> 00:30:56.240 Uh, rose Grogan for C L D N. 413 00:30:56.240 --> 00:31:00.000 If we could have that as well submitted to the examination that would help our 414 00:31:00.000 --> 00:31:02.880 consultants work out if we can narrow areas of difference, 415 00:31:05.100 --> 00:31:09.800 Is that something the, the applicant can arrange that note be submitted? Yeah, 416 00:31:13.960 --> 00:31:17.640 I think on the SS S S I point, that's something that, uh, 417 00:31:18.190 --> 00:31:22.560 perhaps best be safe for your written representations and 418 00:31:23.060 --> 00:31:27.160 any concern can then be identified and the applicant no doubt will respond to 419 00:31:27.160 --> 00:31:27.993 the subsequent deadline 420 00:31:34.950 --> 00:31:38.850 in, in terms of submitting the requested, um, 421 00:31:39.580 --> 00:31:42.210 sequencing program type stuff. 422 00:31:42.710 --> 00:31:45.810 Is that something the applicant can do at Deadline one? Yep.

423 00:31:49.150 --> 00:31:50.010 Yep. Okay. 424 00:31:50.520 --> 00:31:52.490 That would, I think, would assist all parties. 425 00:32:04.270 --> 00:32:08.450 Um, well that, those were the questions, um, that I had, 426 00:32:08.450 --> 00:32:11.290 and to say that the list was originally longer, but it, 427 00:32:11.290 --> 00:32:15.730 it's been shortened because the new information having become available from 428 00:32:15.730 --> 00:32:20.170 Natural England, but really not having the opportunity to digest it as yet. 429 00:32:20.330 --> 00:32:24.570 I don't, um, think that there's an awful lot of point me, um, 430 00:32:24.630 --> 00:32:28.170 asking questions which may have already been resolved, uh, or, 431 00:32:28.230 --> 00:32:31.010 or are in the process of being resolved. Um, 432 00:32:31.030 --> 00:32:33.370 but just before we move off from this item, 433 00:32:34.110 --> 00:32:39.010 are there any other sort of marine ECCO ecological type issues that 434 00:32:39.080 --> 00:32:43.000 any of the ips want to raise before we move on to agenda item five? 435 00:32:45.980 --> 00:32:49.480 Uh, rose Grogan for C L D N? Um, as I said at the beginning,

436 00:32:49.570 --> 00:32:51.800 we'll take a look at what Natural England has said and, 437 00:32:51.940 --> 00:32:55.640 and update that in writing as to whether any of our concerns addressed in our 438 00:32:55.840 --> 00:32:58.520 relevant rep have now fallen away. Um, we don't think they have, 439 00:32:58.660 --> 00:32:59.840 but we'll check that. 440 00:33:01.480 --> 00:33:04.760 Anything from any of the other ips? No. Okay. 441 00:33:06.790 --> 00:33:11.220 Sorry. That then takes us on to agenda item five, which Mr. 442 00:33:11.220 --> 00:33:12.180 Bradley is going to lead. 443 00:33:14.630 --> 00:33:19.220 Thank you. Good. Um, now the summary statements of principle areas, 444 00:33:19.480 --> 00:33:22.420 the difference, which I'll refer to as pads from now on, 445 00:33:22.650 --> 00:33:27.500 have been very useful in providing focus for the examination of these issues. 446 00:33:28.040 --> 00:33:31.420 Um, although they're only as yet, in summary form, 447 00:33:31.450 --> 00:33:34.180 it's clear that there's a great deal of work to be done, uh, 448 00:33:34.240 --> 00:33:38.780 and we want this done early in the examination. And, uh,

449 00:33:40.360 --> 00:33:44.660 in, we've got a range of questions, but, uh, some of them are broadened, 450 00:33:44.660 --> 00:33:49.460 some of them are detailed. Um, What I want to do, uh, 451 00:33:49.460 - > 00:33:54.220initially is to ask the applicant to make a 452 00:33:54.430 --> 00:33:56.700 short opening statement. Um, 453 00:33:57.560 --> 00:34:02.380 and I think that we should preface our remarks 454 00:34:02.410 --> 00:34:07.260 here by saying that we do expect that this will not be 455 00:34:07.280 --> 00:34:11.140 the only issue specific hearing on navigation and shipping. 456 00:34:12.360 --> 00:34:15.940 Um, what I'm going to do then is ask a, 457 00:34:16.460 --> 00:34:21.380 a number of our priority questions, which are, uh, really in relation to, 458 00:34:22.000 --> 00:34:26.580 um, the items which are going to be most interest to, uh, IOT operators, 459 00:34:26.920 --> 00:34:31.100 um, on, uh, with respect to, um, the, the, 460 00:34:31.320 --> 00:34:34.980 the request to, to, to give early focus on that. Um, 461 00:34:35.640 --> 00:34:40.340 having asked I o OT to speak first after the

462 00:34:40.340 --> 00:34:44.740 applicant, we are then going to pass to, uh, the F D S and C L D N. 463 00:34:45.840 --> 00:34:47.100 So, um, 464 00:34:47.950 - > 00:34:52.860there appear to be over 30 pad items concerning safety 465 00:34:52.880 --> 00:34:56.860 of navigation, uh, and without prejudice, 466 00:34:57.140 --> 00:34:58.580 I suggest they might, 467 00:34:58.600 --> 00:35:02.580 for the most part be clustered under five predominant themes. 468 00:35:03.240 --> 00:35:07.540 And I'm going to ask if, um, the applicant could try to, um, 469 00:35:08.040 --> 00:35:11.900 uh, and I think you would have perhaps, uh, anticipated this, um, 470 00:35:11.900 --> 00:35:15.140 cluster your remarks, uh, with reference to these. 471 00:35:15.160 --> 00:35:19.900 So the first is the methodology underlying the applicant's n r a. 472 00:35:21.040 --> 00:35:24.140 The second is stakeholder engagement with the N R A. 473 00:35:25.560 --> 00:35:28.900 The third is data inputs to the a r a. 474 00:35:30.200 --> 00:35:34.700 The fourth is governance mechanism for determining the tolerability

475

00:35:35.160 --> 00:35:35.993 of risk. 476 00:35:37.140 --> 00:35:41.680 And the fifth I suggest is the assessment of effects contingent on 477 00:35:41.870 --> 00:35:44.600 risk controls applied. Um, 478 00:35:45.710 --> 00:35:49.320 this is a kind of map which, uh, 479 00:35:49.820 --> 00:35:54.120 you may wish to, um, take with, uh, uh, uh, 480 00:35:54.710 --> 00:35:58.880 some advisement, but you've obviously prepared some statement in advance. 481 00:35:59.260 --> 00:36:04.120 But if you could try to fit those categories, that would be helpful. Um, 482 00:36:04.800 --> 00:36:08.400 I think what I, I just also asked to, to prepare is that, um, 483 00:36:08.400 --> 00:36:13.000 before we pass on to, uh, i o t, we may well, uh, ask for, 484 00:36:13.420 --> 00:36:17.200 uh, the harbor master to, to, to make comment. So, uh, 485 00:36:17.850 --> 00:36:21.360 we'll see how this goes. Um, Mr. Raun, over to you. 486 00:36:25.050 --> 00:36:27.760 James Raun for a v p. Thank you very much, sir. 487 00:36:27.840 --> 00:36:32.780 I will endeavor to reflect those five themes in

488

00:36:33.140 --> 00:36:36.380 these remarks. Uh, sir, 489 00:36:36.580 --> 00:36:41.580 I just begin by saying that the navigational risk assessment is a 490 00:36:41.580 --> 00:36:46.500 process designed to consider and assess the consequences and impacts of 491 00:36:46.620 --> 00:36:51.060 a given marine development project. Uh, in this case, the, 492 00:36:51.440 --> 00:36:54.820 uh, proposed railroad terminal on navigation, 493 00:36:55.010 --> 00:36:58.220 both during construction and operation, 494 00:36:59.040 --> 00:37:03.700 to enable the relevant port authority to be satisfied as to the ability 495 00:37:03.840 --> 00:37:08.260 to deliver and operate the proposed development acceptably and safely 496 00:37:09.360 --> 00:37:14.020 in accordance with its responsibilities as a duty holder for port Marine 497 $00:37:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.900$ safety. And the N R A is not, in fact, 498 00:37:18.900 --> 00:37:23.020 something specifically required as a matter of policy, uh, 499 00:37:23.160 --> 00:37:27.500 for proposed developments for ports in the n p s for ports. 500 00:37:27.770 - > 00:37:32.740That no doubt reflects the well-established principle that the D

501 00:37:32.780 --> 00:37:37.420 C O process is not intended to duplicate or require 502 00:37:37.830 --> 00:37:42.820 assessment to the effectiveness of other regulatory controls that will 503 00:37:43.060 --> 00:37:46.340 continue to apply to the port under other legislation, 504 00:37:46.890 --> 00:37:51.140 statutory framework and application of the Port Marine Safety Code. 505 00:37:52.360 --> 00:37:55.260 But, and under that existing regime, uh, the, 506 00:37:55.400 --> 00:37:58.620 the simple point is that the relevant authorities, 507 00:37:59.530 --> 00:38:03.140 including the Port Authority for the River Humber, 508 00:38:03.140 --> 00:38:07.780 the Harbor Master for the area with responsibility for pilots, um, 509 00:38:08.970 --> 00:38:13.540 will, and the Dock Master will undoubtedly ensure and be required to ensure 510 00:38:14.130 - > 00:38:18.540that the port continues to operate safely with the proposed development under 511 00:38:18.540 --> 00:38:21.780 both construction and operation. However, 512 00:38:21.840 --> 00:38:26.500 the N R A process is included as part of the e i a 513 00:38:27.640 --> 00:38:29.660

itself a process, uh, 514 00:38:29.660 --> 00:38:33.700 which shows that the under assessment that's been undertaken in terms of likely 515 00:38:33.700 --> 00:38:35.340 significant effects or not, 516 00:38:35.900 --> 00:38:40.000 and the n r a process that's been undergone demonstrates that the relevant 517 00:38:40.000 --> 00:38:44.920 authorities have already satisfied themselves in principle as to the 518 00:38:44.920 --> 00:38:49.080 ability to address navigation without any likely significant effects 519 00:38:49.670 --> 00:38:54.240 with the normal raft of controls that are available to them to manage shipping 520 00:38:54.300 --> 00:38:57.120 in the Humber around IMing Immingham, 521 00:38:57.740 --> 00:39:01.880 and to enable ships to move in and outta births and the ports using 522 00:39:02.140 --> 00:39:05.760 well-established techniques and expertise that one would expect. 523 00:39:05.790 --> 00:39:08.920 That takes full of count of, for example, conditions on the day. 524 00:39:09.020 --> 00:39:12.720 And you've probably seen some of that activity on your, uh, 525 00:39:13.000 --> 00:39:16.160 familiarization visit yesterday. Uh,

526

00:39:16.270 --> 00:39:21.000 it's perhaps inevitable that some rival operators may use that as an 527 00:39:21.000 --> 00:39:25.040 opportunity to pursue objections to a development that presents competition. 528 00:39:25.460 --> 00:39:29.800 We understand that or seek to obtain improvements or changes to their own 529 00:39:29.850 --> 00:39:32.040 facilities, which may not be justified, 530 00:39:32.860 --> 00:39:36.720 and much time can be spent in debating those objections. 531 00:39:37.500 --> 00:39:38.170 But they, 532 00:39:38.170 --> 00:39:42.440 those objections don't impinge upon that basic allocation of responsibilities 533 00:39:43.260 --> 00:39:45.800 for assessments of risk and management, uh, 534 00:39:46.020 --> 00:39:50.480 by the relevant authorities who are tasked with ensuring safe construction and 535 00:39:50.550 - > 00:39:52.640operation using those methods. 536 00:39:52.950 --> 00:39:56.280 I've summarized the scope, um, 537 00:39:56.340 --> 00:40:00.960 and turning really to the first of the five themes you, you raised a moment ago. 538 00:40:01.780 --> 00:40:04.960

The scope of the N R A undertaken for the project, 539 00:40:05.660 --> 00:40:08.640 we say has been extremely thorough and comprehensive, 540 00:40:09.500 --> 00:40:12.280 and dealing with the second of your topics, um, 541 00:40:13.280 --> 00:40:18.160 involved stakeholders and was produced by qualified specialist 542 00:40:18.230 --> 00:40:22.320 experts in relation to navigation matters. And, uh, 543 00:40:22.340 --> 00:40:26.760 you will have seen or will see their relevant qualifications, um, 544 00:40:27.020 --> 00:40:30.120 and of course, the involvement of the stakeholders, for example, 545 00:40:30.180 --> 00:40:33.880 in relation to simulation modeling. And in summary, 546 00:40:34.140 --> 00:40:38.760 it has included the appraisal of existing vessel activity and new 547 00:40:39.080 --> 00:40:43.880 activity arising as a result of the construction of the marine infrastructure, 548 00:40:44.350 --> 00:40:45.520 including, of course, 549 00:40:45.700 --> 00:40:50.240 the capital and maintenance dredge require requirements to accommodate the 550 00:40:50.400 --> 00:40:54.160 railroad vessels at the three new berths at all stages of the tide. 551 00:40:55.260 --> 00:40:58.760

And then it assesses the effect of the proposed development on future marine 552 00:40:58.760 --> 00:41:03.200 traffic with regards to any potential additional identified 553 00:41:03.350 --> 00:41:06.120 hazards and the embedded controls in place, 554 00:41:06.650 - > 00:41:09.960along with potential future mitigation measures. 555 00:41:10.940 --> 00:41:13.520 And those risks can then be appraised, 556 00:41:13.580 --> 00:41:18.320 and the Port Authority is able to assess and identify what controls will be used 557 00:41:18.320 --> 00:41:19.680 to ensure that the risks of both, 558 00:41:20.180 --> 00:41:24.440 to use the acronym a l, um, 559 00:41:24.570 --> 00:41:25.920 which you'll be very familiar with, 560 00:41:25.940 --> 00:41:30.480 but as low as reasonably practicable and acceptable. And that process, 561 00:41:30.660 --> 00:41:35.040 we say is being fully undertaken. And so I'm going to, 562 00:41:35.390 --> 00:41:38.760 that covers to a degree methodology and stakeholder engagement. 563 00:41:38.760 --> 00:41:41.400 But coming back to methodology in the agenda,

564

00:41:41.660 --> 00:41:46.360 one of the questions you asked was about the Marine and Coast Guard Agency 565 00:41:46.360 --> 00:41:47.193 published guidance 566 00:41:48.620 --> 00:41:53.280 and in also the M G N 6 54 annex one methodology 567 00:41:53.500 --> 00:41:58.400 for assessing marine navigational safety. And it, 568 00:41:58.700 --> 00:42:01.560 I'm, it's have Mr. Hannon here, um, 569 00:42:01.700 --> 00:42:05.640 an Associated Maritime consultant, A B M V M mer, 570 $00:42:05.900 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.400$ who would be to answer more detail questions you may have about that. 571 00:42:10.020 --> 00:42:10.880 But just in summary, 572 00:42:11.900 --> 00:42:16.840 the UK National standard for the safe and efficient running of ports is 573 00:42:17.040 --> 00:42:21.360 actually the Department for Transport Port Marine Safety Code of 574 00:42:21.420 --> 00:42:25.200 2016. With its accompanying guidance document, 575 00:42:25.220 --> 00:42:30.000 the guide could practice on Port Marine operations published in 2018 576 00:42:30.140 --> 00:42:31.800 by the Department for Transport.

577 00:42:32.180 --> 00:42:35.600 And that's on the basis for the N R A methodology. 578 00:42:37.260 --> 00:42:42.240 The Port Marine Safety Code references the use of formal 579 00:42:42.350 --> 00:42:44.960 risk assessment, sometimes referred as F r a, 580 00:42:45.220 --> 00:42:48.680 not to be confused with way that's used in other context, 581 00:42:49.260 --> 00:42:53.960 and that's to manage the risks associated with the marine operations, uh, 582 00:42:54.020 --> 00:42:58.520 the need for assessment and the means of controlling the risk. And it states, 583 00:42:58.700 --> 00:42:59.190 of course, 584 00:42:59.190 --> 00:43:04.120 that aim of eliminating risk or failing that to reduce it to the as low as 585 00:43:04.120 --> 00:43:05.840 reasonable practicable level. 586 00:43:06.500 - > 00:43:11.080And the formal risk assessments should be used to use the quotation to identify 587 00:43:11.150 --> 00:43:12.680 hazards and analyze risks, 588 00:43:12.910 --> 00:43:17.600 assessing those risks against an appropriate standard of acceptability and where

00:43:17.600 --> 00:43:20.960 appropriate consider a cost benefit assessment of risk reduction measures. 590 00:43:21.340 --> 00:43:24.200 And that is the process the applicant has followed. 591 00:43:25.530 --> 00:43:30.000 There is no formal prescriptive guidance or methodology 592 00:43:30.700 --> 00:43:35.680 for navigational risk assessments specified in the Port Marine Safety Code and 593 00:43:35.680 --> 00:43:36.513 the risk assessment. 594 00:43:36.660 --> 00:43:41.520 The process the appellant has used complies fully with the requirements of the 595 00:43:41.520 --> 00:43:45.880 Port Marine Safety Code, uh, using bio experts, 596 00:43:45.880 --> 00:43:50.680 conventional methodologies in the way described, uh, set out in the NRA, 597 00:43:52.140 --> 00:43:54.040 the Guide to Good Practice document. 598 $00:43:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.040$ Section four provides risk assessment guidance in the context of 599 00:43:59.040 --> 00:44:02.760 supporting the ports Marine Safety Management system. 600 00:44:04.100 --> 00:44:08.600 And the Guide to Good Practice suggests the use of a stage risk assessment and 601 00:44:08.600 --> 00:44:12.280

provides an example of a five stage risk assessment. Similar, 602 00:44:12.340 --> 00:44:16.720 but not completely the same as the five step process outlined in the 603 00:44:16.720 --> 00:44:21.480 International Maritime Organizations provides guide for formal 604 00:44:21.480 --> 00:44:26.440 safety assessment and the Guide to Good Practice identifies 605 00:44:26.440 --> 00:44:30.680 that risk assessment techniques are fundamentally the same for large and small 606 00:44:30.690 --> 00:44:31.523 ports, 607 00:44:31.540 --> 00:44:36.320 but the execution in detail will differ considerably and identifies that five 608 00:44:36.330 --> 00:44:37.960 stage process. Again, 609 00:44:37.980 --> 00:44:42.520 the Guide to Good Practice doesn't prescribe or mention a fixed methodology, uh, 610 00:44:42.540 --> 00:44:46.960 to be used for an N R A and the appellant, uh, process, again, 611 00:44:46.960 --> 00:44:49.320 is consistent with that Guide to Good practice. 612 00:44:51.020 --> 00:44:55.880 The experts have used suggested formal risk assessment examples in the guide, 613 00:44:55.880 --> 00:44:57.880 good practice for this project,

614 00:44:58.220 --> 00:45:03.160 as it would also be followed by the Statutory Harbor Authority as part of the 615 00:45:03.160 --> 00:45:07.840 requirement for the Marine Management Safety Management system. Uh, 616 00:45:08.260 - > 00:45:12.840so there is no prescribed process or method in either the, 617 00:45:13.140 --> 00:45:18.080 say the code or the Good to practice. The experts, um, 618 00:45:18.150 --> 00:45:22.960 that have produced the N R A have taken into account guidance from the I M O, 619 00:45:23.220 --> 00:45:26.680 I'm sorry, this, this error is littered with acronyms, 620 00:45:26.780 --> 00:45:30.160 but the International Maritime Organization, uh, 621 00:45:30.380 --> 00:45:33.680 in the form of the five step process, uh, 622 00:45:33.700 --> 00:45:38.080 as well as the M c A guidance for assessing risk in a different context as 623 00:45:38.080 - > 00:45:41.240contained in the M G A N 6 54 document. 624 00:45:42.140 --> 00:45:46.840 And this document has hazard identification categories, 625 00:45:47.660 --> 00:45:52.560 and these categories have been used in the N R A here as they reflect 626 00:45:52.600 --> 00:45:57.360

a categorization used internationally and for the UK Marine 627 00:45:57.360 --> 00:46:01.840 Authority procedural guidance. But as explained in the N R A 628 00:46:03.540 --> 00:46:07.920 on the wider use of guidance in MGN 6 54 itself, 629 00:46:07.980 --> 00:46:12.400 it notes that the documents listed below cover a wide range of guidance 630 00:46:12.510 --> 00:46:14.200 advised from Marine activities, 631 00:46:14.300 --> 00:46:17.480 not all of which are applicable to this particular proposal. 632 00:46:18.460 --> 00:46:19.360 And furthermore, 633 00:46:19.980 --> 00:46:24.920 MGN 6 54 Annex one Methodology got a very 634 00:46:24.920 --> 00:46:29.120 long title for assessing marine navigational safety, um, 635 00:46:30.220 --> 00:46:33.840 is dealing with offshore renewable energy installations, 636 $00:46:35.040 \rightarrow 00:46:38.720$ guidance on UK navigational practice, et cetera. I won't read it all out. 637 00:46:38.720 --> 00:46:42.880 It's a very long title. Um, it reflects that point, 638 00:46:42.940 --> 00:46:47.720 the point of difference. It is a methodology that specifically applies, 639

00:46:48.500 --> 00:46:53.160 uh, to the different circumstances for assessing the impact on 640 00:46:53.160 --> 00:46:56.200 navigational safety and emergency response. 641 00:46:56.470 --> 00:47:01.000 Such rescue salvage and towing and counter pollution caused by 642 00:47:01.360 --> 00:47:05.840 offshore renewable energy installation developments, wind, wave, and tidal. 643 00:47:06.340 --> 00:47:10.480 Uh, and it applies to proposals in United Kingdom, internal waters, 644 00:47:10.800 --> 00:47:13.560 territorial seas, and the exclusive economic zone. 645 00:47:14.460 --> 00:47:18.720 It does not apply to port related risk assessments 646 00:47:19.200 --> 00:47:22.880 relating to navigation or marine operations. Uh, 647 00:47:22.980 --> 00:47:27.960 nor does it apply to areas within a port or harbor, which are controlled, 648 00:47:27.960 --> 00:47:30.360 of course by the statutory Harbor Authority, 649 00:47:30.860 --> 00:47:34.160 acting as the authority for the safe provision of navigation. 650 00:47:35.340 --> 00:47:36.400 So accordingly, 651 00:47:36.400 --> 00:47:41.120 so far as annex one of M G A 6 54 is concerned, 652

00:47:41.460 --> 00:47:45.840 uh, only the only element used from that is the standard 653 00:47:45.900 --> 00:47:50.160 categorization of hazard identification that I mention. Okay. 654 00:47:50.580 --> 00:47:54.960 And sir, just pausing there, Mr. Hannon, in respect to that methodology, 655 00:47:55.780 --> 00:47:59.480 is very well placed to assist you with that bearing in mind. 656 00:47:59.540 --> 00:48:02.760 I'm not gonna read out his qualifications, the reason he said, but he has, uh, 657 00:48:02.830 --> 00:48:06.880 very extensive regulatory experience for the Marine, uh, 658 00:48:06.880 --> 00:48:08.480 maritime and Coast Guard Agency. 659 00:48:08.580 --> 00:48:12.160 So he'll be able to answer your questions in that respect. Thank you. 660 00:48:13.300 --> 00:48:16.760 So the structure and contents of the N R A, uh, 661 00:48:16.820 --> 00:48:21.120 we say address the code, the guide, the Good Practice Guidance, 662 00:48:21.660 --> 00:48:24.080 and it actually goes, um, beyond that, 663 00:48:24.610 --> 00:48:29.320 which is identified for an n i a as part of any environmental assessment, 664 00:48:30.140 --> 00:48:30.360 uh,

665 00:48:30.360 --> 00:48:34.960 because the five step process that's been adopted addresses the addition of a 666 00:48:34.960 --> 00:48:39.560 cost benefit analysis that aligns with the statutory Harbor authority, uh, 667 00:48:39.560 --> 00:48:41.920 requirements and the Marines, 668 00:48:42.540 --> 00:48:46.800 the safety code requirements for assessing risk and maintaining the, uh, 669 00:48:46.900 --> 00:48:51.240 safety system. So, uh, the 670 00:48:53.480 --> 00:48:57.080 M ss m s to use another abbreviation here, but the, uh, 671 00:48:57.220 --> 00:49:02.040 safety system system is internally audited. 672 00:49:02.540 --> 00:49:03.680 Um, moving to your, 673 00:49:03.780 --> 00:49:08.560 one of your topics about governance on an annual basis and 674 00:49:08.620 --> 00:49:13.280 an external assurance audit is undertaken every three years against the 675 00:49:13.280 --> 00:49:18.280 requirements of the code and the Guide to Good Practice and the applicant, 676 00:49:18.500 --> 00:49:19.600 the A V P that is,

677 00:49:19.620 --> 00:49:23.760 has identified compliance with the code to the UK government, 678 00:49:24.380 --> 00:49:26.920 and they're listed on the website, 679 00:49:26.950 --> 00:49:31.640 port Marine Safety Code compliant ports webpage as one of the ports 680 00:49:31.790 --> 00:49:34.160 that submit compliance with the code 681 00:49:37.100 --> 00:49:40.240 in relation to another aspect race in your agenda item, 682 00:49:40.420 --> 00:49:45.400 the birthing simulation exercises. And, um, 683 00:49:45.630 --> 00:49:48.040 this really starts to move towards, I think, 684 00:49:48.470 --> 00:49:51.360 data inputs amongst others to the N R A. 685 00:49:51.360 --> 00:49:53.280 This is specifically in relation to simulation. 686 00:49:53.860 --> 00:49:58.560 You will have seen that HR Wallingford undertook the initial feasibility 687 00:49:58.570 --> 00:50:03.400 study in December, 2021 to consider the design of the births 688 00:50:04.020 --> 00:50:07.800 and requirements for safe operations at the proposed development using real-time 689 00:50:07.810 --> 00:50:11.800 navigation simulation. There was a further one day, uh,

690 00:50:12.450 --> 00:50:17.120 simulation in April, 2022 to consider the effect of rotating 691 00:50:17.860 --> 00:50:22.560 the births, I think by one degrees towards the I O T. And, 692 00:50:23.860 --> 00:50:28.800 uh, A B B took the opportunity to invite the stakeholders, uh, the, 693 00:50:28.860 --> 00:50:33.000 OR from a p t, that's the operators of I OT, to attend that simulation. 694 00:50:33.940 --> 00:50:37.320 And then in July, 2022, uh, 695 00:50:37.320 --> 00:50:41.200 there was a further real time navigation simulation study that considered the 696 00:50:41.200 --> 00:50:45.440 feasibility of operating the 237 meter long RO 697 00:50:46.040 --> 00:50:46.873 ferries or ships. 698 00:50:48,260 --> 00:50:52,680 And then based on feedback questions that were raised, um, with, 699 00:50:52.820 --> 00:50:57.440 by the stakeholders about the original title data collection that qoes 700 00:50:58.230 --> 00:51:01.030 into the simulation model. Um, 701 00:51:01.410 --> 00:51:05.590 and there was a subsequent flow model developed by, 702 00:51:06.250 --> 00:51:11.030 uh, HR Wallingford from a flow survey

703 00:51:11.390 --> 00:51:15.870 commissioned by the appellant using an acoustic Doppler current 704 00:51:16.190 --> 00:51:20.950 profiler to model the title effects in more detail. I think in simple terms, 705 00:51:21.290 --> 00:51:22.710 uh, uh, 706 00:51:22.710 --> 00:51:27.630 further direct title information data from a boy in the 707 00:51:27.870 --> 00:51:31.990 location of the births. And, uh, 708 00:51:31.990 --> 00:51:36.670 in December, 2022, they commissioned a program of stakeholder demonstrations. 709 00:51:36.810 --> 00:51:41.790 You've probably read more about those supported by the real time navigation 710 00:51:41.790 --> 00:51:43.150 simulation, um, 711 00:51:43.460 --> 00:51:47.550 devised by A B P and the Competent Harbor Authority. 712 00:51:47.850 --> 00:51:52.230 And you obviously have the Harbor Master here separately represented and 713 00:51:52.230 --> 00:51:54.230 facilitated by HR Wallingford, 714 00:51:54.770 --> 00:51:59.510 and it was attended by representatives of I O T as well as D F D Ss.

00:52:00.410 --> 00:52:01.990 And the final simulation runs. 716 00:52:01.990 --> 00:52:06.750 You've seen the outputs of those confirm previous findings that with the correct 717 00:52:07.030 --> 00:52:10.670 training, appropriate use of risk controls such as tugs were necessary, 718 00:52:10.730 --> 00:52:15.270 and procedures factoring tide and wind conditions maneuvering to and from 719 00:52:15.570 --> 00:52:20.350 the new infrastructure under the model conditions were all acceptable. 720 00:52:22.370 --> 00:52:27.070 And just going back to governance, uh, or sorry, 721 00:52:27.070 --> 00:52:30.270 moving on to governance, which is your fourth item really there, 722 00:52:30.810 --> 00:52:32.790 the governance approach to, uh, 723 00:52:33.380 --> 00:52:36.910 dealing with acceptable level of tolerability in the N R A. 724 00:52:37.810 - > 00:52:42.790So the Port Authority duty holders have a responsibility to set the 725 00:52:42.790 --> 00:52:47.710 levels of tolerability for their organizations based on how 726 00:52:47.710 --> 00:52:51.190 they consider what is acceptable for their organization. 727 00:52:52.290 --> 00:52:57.270 And the Marine Safety Code doesn't mention tolerability nor

728 00:52:57.270 --> 00:52:58.270 define the process, 729 00:52:58.850 --> 00:53:03.510 nor does it provide guidance or prescribe how duty holders set those 730 00:53:03.550 - > 00:53:05.630tolerability levels or thresholds. 731 00:53:06.370 --> 00:53:10.350 The only reference in the Guide to Good Practice concerning tolerability is 732 00:53:10.350 --> 00:53:14.550 contained in the section dealing with the a l process 733 00:53:15.120 --> 00:53:20.070 where it also mentions what are referred to as intolerable risks and states 734 00:53:20.070 --> 00:53:23.790 that measures must be taken to eliminate those risks as far as practicable, 735 00:53:24.010 --> 00:53:28.360 but it doesn't prescribe the methodology for doing that. Uh, 736 00:53:28.960 --> 00:53:33.000 A B P has a Harbor Authority and Safety Board, 737 00:53:34.480 --> 00:53:36.880 H A S B, I'll try and avoid these acronyms, 738 00:53:36.880 --> 00:53:41.160 but the Harbor Authority Safety Board, which is chaired by the C E 0, 739 00:53:41.220 --> 00:53:45.920 and it meets separately from the main A B P board and has its own

740

00:53:46.360 --> 00:53:51.280 remit and the Harbor and Safety Harbor Authority and Safety Board, 741 00:53:51.980 --> 00:53:54.440 um, membership of the, 742 00:53:55.070 --> 00:53:57.360 it's the same as the main A B P board, 743 00:53:57.380 --> 00:54:02.040 but also has some additional regular standing attendees who act as 744 00:54:02.260 --> 00:54:03.920 expert advisors to them. 745 00:54:04.820 --> 00:54:09.400 And ABPs appointed designated person and marine advisor 746 00:54:10.220 --> 00:54:12.080 as required under the Marine Safety Code, 747 00:54:12.080 --> 00:54:16.800 both attend those meetings and an external health and safety legal 748 00:54:16.800 --> 00:54:21.160 advisor also attends. And they, the board, 749 00:54:21.390 --> 00:54:26.280 that board met on the 12th of December, 2022 for the purposes 750 $00:54:26.280 \rightarrow 00:54:30.920$ of considering the navigational risk assessment and to consider the process 751 00:54:31.100 --> 00:54:32.160 and approach would be, 752 00:54:32.170 --> 00:54:37.000 which had been undertaken in relation to the N R A and was asked to consider

00:54:37.300 --> 00:54:42.120 and then approve the conclusion that the risks that had been identified as part 754 00:54:42.120 --> 00:54:46.480 of the process were indeed as low as reasonably practicable and indeed 755 00:54:46.510 - > 00:54:48.680tolerable. And the board did so. 756 00:54:50.940 --> 00:54:55.840 So you raised a question about the tolerability of societal con concerns 757 00:54:56.130 --> 00:55:01.000 under paragraph 6.2 of the MGN 6 54 annex 758 00:55:01.000 --> 00:55:03.400 methodology. I've, in part, 759 00:55:03.910 --> 00:55:07.440 started to address that by explaining the position on that, 760 00:55:07.460 --> 00:55:12.200 but the applicant as port operator and statutory Harbor Authority 761 00:55:12.200 --> 00:55:16.520 doesn't have any duties nor any obligations under that particular quidance 762 00:55:17.340 - > 00:55:21.840as it applies to the somewhat different position of assessing navigational 763 00:55:21.900 --> 00:55:24.480 safety and emergency response. 764 00:55:24.580 --> 00:55:29.080 The type I identified for offshore renewable energy installation projects

765

00:55:29.470 --> 00:55:30.960 applies to different waters, 766 00:55:31.820 --> 00:55:36.560 and the use of the guidance in M Mgn 6 54 is clear 767 00:55:36.590 --> 00:55:37.640 that in that context, 768 00:55:37.870 --> 00:55:42.760 it's intended to fulfill requirements of the UK government under the Energy Act 769 00:55:42.980 --> 00:55:43.960 2004, 770 00:55:44.490 --> 00:55:49.000 which is establishing a regulatory regime for that sort of 771 00:55:49.000 --> 00:55:53.880 installation beyond the Territorial sea in the UK's, uh, 772 00:55:54.500 --> 00:55:58.120 uh, I forget the name of E E Z, but the, uh, other zone, 773 00:55:59.440 --> 00:56:04.080 economic economic zone, thank you. And it supplements the regime, 774 00:56:04.080 --> 00:56:07.160 which applies in the internal and territorial sea, 775 00:56:07.980 --> 00:56:12.440 and you'll see from section 99 and a hundred of that ACT deals specifically with 776 00:56:12.650 --> 00:56:16.480 navigation and introduces under Section 36 B, 777 00:56:16.480 --> 00:56:19.680 the title duties in relation to navigation, uh,

00:56:19.790 --> 00:56:23.440 into section 36 of the Electricity Act 1989. 779 00:56:24.220 --> 00:56:25.360 And by contrast, 780 00:56:25.710 --> 00:56:29.680 port and Harbor authorities follow the guidance and standards provided by the 781 00:56:29.680 --> 00:56:30.960 Department for Transport, 782 00:56:31.500 --> 00:56:35.640 as laid out in the Marine Safety Code and the Guide to Good Practice for the 783 00:56:35.640 --> 00:56:37.360 purposes of this type of development project, 784 00:56:37.360 --> 00:56:41.720 which sets has its own way of setting levels of acceptable tolerance. 785 00:56:44.620 --> 00:56:47.680 The, um, I think your last topic, 786 00:56:47.680 --> 00:56:52.600 assessments of effects for contingent risks is largely covered within the N R A, 787 00:56:52.620 - > 00:56:57.600but there was a specific question about the impact protection measures for the 788 00:56:58.120 --> 00:57:02.680 I O T terminal, which we were talking about earlier. The, the, the, 789 00:57:02.740 --> 00:57:06.880 the position is that following the review of the N R A, um, 790 00:57:06.980 --> 00:57:11.960

and the consideration by that board of the conclusions and assessment in the 791 00:57:11.960 --> 00:57:15.720 impact protection measures that are identified are not considered to be 792 00:57:16.240 --> 00:57:20.520 required. And following the commencement of the operations, however, 793 00:57:20.780 --> 00:57:25.640 the Competent Harbor Authority, uh, who is here also as I mentioned, 794 00:57:25.950 --> 00:57:29.600 will be able to keep that under continuous review, uh, 795 00:57:29.740 --> 00:57:33.040 as required by the M S M S, um, 796 00:57:33.100 --> 00:57:36.920and formal risk assessment laid out in the Port Marine Safety Code, 797 00:57:37.320 --> 00:57:40.640 together with the duties, of course, they have to facilitate safe navigation, 798 00:57:41.420 --> 00:57:43.720 and that can take any form that they choose, 799 00:57:43.740 --> 00:57:47.720 but based on reports provided to five pilots, and of course, 800 00:57:47.780 --> 00:57:52.120 if it was subsequently to transpire that it was thought impact protection 801 00:57:52.120 --> 00:57:55.960 measures should be provided on the grounds of additional navigational safety, 802 00:57:56.630 --> 00:58:01.440 then a V p as the owner and operator of the port would be able to

take 803 00:58:01.580 --> 00:58:03.600 the steps necessary to achieve that. 804 00:58:06.540 --> 00:58:07.640 Sir, in terms of 805 00:58:10.460 --> 00:58:13.440 How that's all been taken into account, I think I've already covered, uh, 806 00:58:13.510 --> 00:58:18.440 this subsidiary question about cumulative and in combination of effects for 807 00:58:18.440 --> 00:58:22.960 the purposes of the ES and Habitat regulations assessment. But in short, yes, 808 00:58:22.960 --> 00:58:25.960 they've all been assessed as if they were to be provided, 809 00:58:26.580 --> 00:58:30.800 and assessment has been on the basis of the occurring at the worst time of year 810 00:58:30.940 --> 00:58:35.800 for habitat purposes. So I think I, 811 00:58:36.040 --> 00:58:39.280 I tried to cover the five topics you raised. 812 00:58:39.480 --> 00:58:40.800 I know there'll be some other questions. 813 00:58:41.330 --> 00:58:43.880 There was one other question that appears in the agenda, 814 00:58:43.880 --> 00:58:45.560 which I can address briefly now,

815 00:58:45.660 --> 00:58:50.160 and that's about the provision of Sure to ship power and, um, 816 00:58:50.450 --> 00:58:52.880 power technology for future marine tugs, 817 00:58:52.880 --> 00:58:56.960 which is slightly unrelated to the direct issue, but I'm, 818 00:58:57.520 --> 00:59:02.040 I can confirm the provision of ship to shore power or shore to ship, 819 00:59:03.350 --> 00:59:08.120 whichever way you look at it, uh, will be, uh, it should be shore to ship, 820 00:59:08.320 --> 00:59:13.200 I think yes, will be incorporated in the jetty approach. The links span, 821 00:59:13.640 --> 00:59:16.320 pontoons and finger peer, but it won't devolve, 822 00:59:16.320 --> 00:59:18.920 involve development of additional marine infrastructure. 823 00:59:20.060 --> 00:59:22.800 And as to tugs, 824 00:59:23.500 --> 00:59:27.880 CVI are currently working closely with Caterpillar on transition of 825 00:59:28.240 --> 00:59:33.080 tugs fuel to methanol to meet the I M O and UK 826 00:59:33.080 --> 00:59:36.280 government's requirements for marine decarbonization. 827 00:59:37.140 --> 00:59:40.160 And in January, 2019, as you will know,

828 00:59:40.160 --> 00:59:44.360 the government published Maritime 2050 with its vision, 829 00:59:45.260 --> 00:59:45.540 uh, 830 00:59:45.540 --> 00:59:50.520 for the maritime sector outlining the ambitious recommendations to take the UK 831 00:59:50.560 --> 00:59:54.160 maritime industry into the second half of the 21st century. 832 00:59:54.860 --> 00:59:57.640 And a V p itself in February, 2023, 833 00:59:57.820 --> 01:00:02.560 has released its sustainability strategy ready for tomorrow, uh, 834 01:00:02.590 --> 01:00:07.280 setting out their commitment to improving the environmental impact across the 21 835 01:00:07.450 --> 01:00:11.560 ports, for example, by reducing greenhouse gas emissions, 836 01:00:11.800 --> 01:00:15.680 encouraging biodiversity, and the use of shore power for vessels, 837 01:00:15.680 --> 01:00:19.240 which the examining authorities asked about is, of course, 838 01:00:19.560 --> 01:00:22.240 included as a policy in that key initiative. 839 01:00:23.340 --> 01:00:26.000 So I hope that covers everything on your list. Um, 840 01:00:26.880 --> 01:00:30.680 although I know there'll be some other questions, and as I said, Mr. 841 01:00:30.780 --> 01:00:34.880 Hannon in particular here to address you on some of the methodology 842 01:00:36.080 --> 01:00:36.913 questions. 843 01:00:39.770 --> 01:00:43.720 Thank you. Um, it certainly has covered all the ground, um, 844 01:00:43.870 --> 01:00:47.640 that was flagged in the, uh, in the agenda, um, 845 01:00:47.940 --> 01:00:49.440 but it's raced through very quickly, 846 01:00:49.620 --> 01:00:53.760 so I'm sure that we will be coming back to a number of your points as we 847 01:00:54.080 --> 01:00:58.360 progress. Um, I think just be, because so many of these, um, 848 01:00:58.590 --> 01:01:02.520 matters you've raised are still fresh in mind. 849 01:01:02.820 --> 01:01:07.280 I'm going to ask a few questions before I pass over to iot, if I may. 850 01:01:07.940 --> 01:01:12.320 Um, the, um, the, the first, uh, 851 01:01:13.160 --> 01:01:18.120 I think it's just to identify the consideration of M C 852 01:01:18.160 --> 01:01:22.400 A as a stakeholder and as an authority in regard, 853 01:01:22.700 --> 01:01:27.360 not so much the n r a per se, but,

854 01:01:27.540 --> 01:01:32.240 uh, in regard to advice to the M M O as well as 855 01:01:32.380 --> 01:01:36.600 giving advice to the Secretary of State Transport. Now, um, 856 01:01:36.980 --> 01:01:40.360 we did invite m c a to speak for themselves, but, um, 857 01:01:40.720 --> 01:01:44.400 I rather sense that bearing in mind your expert witness, 858 01:01:45.100 --> 01:01:47.800 you may have con uh, anticipated that question, 859 01:01:48.020 --> 01:01:52.920 but can I ask you to tell us what your, uh, 860 01:01:53.580 --> 01:01:54.370 um, 861 01:01:54.370 --> 01:01:59.320 sense of this is as to have you consulted adequately 862 01:01:59.340 --> 01:02:01.000 at this stage with the M C A? 863 01:02:03.500 --> 01:02:04.240 So I'm 864 01:02:04.240 --> 01:02:06.160 Gonna hand over to Mr. Hammond for you. 865 01:02:07.220 --> 01:02:10.000 Uh, James Hanlen, uh, A B p. Um, 866 01:02:11.020 --> 01:02:14.920 the requirement to consult with the M C A is, is, is, um, uh, 867 01:02:15.340 --> 01:02:18.480

is not in remit of the, of the Statutory Harbor Authority in this context. 868 01:02:18.780 --> 01:02:21.800 So the, uh, maritime and Coast Guard Agency, um, 869 01:02:22.170 --> 01:02:24.440 under the Port Marine Safety Code, um, 870 01:02:24.620 --> 01:02:28.440 is responsible to Department of Transport and Secretary of State, um, 871 01:02:28.500 --> 01:02:32.680 for advising on the technical content of the code and compliance with the code. 872 01:02:33.900 --> 01:02:37.800 Um, as far as the Maritime and Coast Guard Agency, um, 873 01:02:38.570 --> 01:02:40.840 would have oversight on the, uh, 874 01:02:41.470 --> 01:02:45.560 methodology used for the, um, for the, uh, uh, 875 01:02:45.680 --> 01:02:50.080 navigational risk assessment, they have, um, provided, uh, 876 01:02:50.080 --> 01:02:53.160 their comments already stating that they are, um, uh, 877 01:02:53.530 --> 01:02:56.800 happy with the approach that's been taken, and, uh, 878 01:02:56.800 --> 01:02:58.880 have also stated that the, uh, 879 01:03:00.600 --> 01:03:05.280 responsibilities of the statutory incompetent Harbor authority to review the,

880 01:03:05.280 --> 01:03:07.800 uh, the, the, the risk management, um, 881 01:03:08.060 --> 01:03:12.560 has been undertaken in an appropriate manner. The, um, uh, 882 01:03:12.700 --> 01:03:16.880 moving forward onto their responsibilities or the responsibilities under the, 883 01:03:16.880 --> 01:03:20.000 the wider remit. With their engagement with the M M O, um, 884 01:03:20.230 --> 01:03:24.200 they will advise the M M O accordingly and would will state that they would have 885 01:03:24.200 --> 01:03:28.160 no further, um, recommendations to make, because the, 886 01:03:28.260 --> 01:03:31.640 the authority does not lie with them. It remains with the harbor, the, 887 01:03:31.660 --> 01:03:32.960 the harbor authorities, 888 01:03:36.540 --> 01:03:41.200 And in particular on the assessment of whether risks have 889 01:03:41.310 --> 01:03:42.800 been, um, uh, 890 01:03:43.170 --> 01:03:46.680 controlled or are proposed to be controlled to a a P. 891 01:03:47.180 --> 01:03:51.400 Is that something on which the M C A will be, um, 892 01:03:51.440 --> 01:03:54.680 further consulted or, uh, uh,

893 01:03:56.180 --> 01:03:58.400 indeed as a, um, if you like, 894 01:03:58.480 --> 01:04:01.680 a final recommendation to the M M 0? 895 01:04:04.860 --> 01:04:09.160 So the, um, so as I, as I, as I, um, um, 896 01:04:09.430 --> 01:04:12.800 pointed out earlier, the, the authority remains with the, 897 01:04:12.800 --> 01:04:14.040 the statutory Harbor authority. 898 01:04:14.140 --> 01:04:18.240 So it is completely away from the M c's remit to, um, 899 01:04:18.420 --> 01:04:22.840 to decide the, the levels of a lap or tolerability. Um, it, it is not, 900 01:04:22.840 --> 01:04:26.320 does not fall in an, an area that they have the, uh, navigation authority for 901 01:04:26.770 --> 01:04:27.920 Understand. Thank you. 902 01:04:31.420 --> 01:04:35.300 I think that's, uh, adequate on that particular question. Thank you. Um, 903 01:04:36.360 --> 01:04:40.060 now it, it not unreasonable to say the, um, 904 01:04:40.520 --> 01:04:42.180 the MNG 6 54 and, 905 01:04:42.280 --> 01:04:46.940

and X and P M S E and guidance that public documents, 906 01:04:47.000 --> 01:04:51.580 but I think it might be helpful to have them submitted to the examination 907 01:04:51.580 --> 01:04:56.100 library. Thank you. Um, 908 01:04:57.620 --> 01:05:00.460 I did note that actually in the relevant representation, the, uh, 909 01:05:00.560 --> 01:05:05.260 the relatively, um, concise representation from M C A, they did, 910 01:05:05.480 --> 01:05:10.180 uh, identify the P M S C in its guide as, uh, 911 01:05:10.400 --> 01:05:14.620 the, the overriding document here. But, um, 912 01:05:15.860 --> 01:05:18.960 I think that that anticipating a question, 913 01:05:18.960 --> 01:05:23.240 which I sense that the ipss may wish to raise themselves, 914 01:05:23.420 --> 01:05:27.400 but certainly have raised in representation so far, 915 01:05:29.140 --> 01:05:33.640 why has the use of, uh, in particular the, 916 01:05:34.420 --> 01:05:36.760 the, the, um, M six five, 917 01:05:38.140 --> 01:05:42.680 MGN 6 54 annex, uh, has IDs, 918 01:05:43.740 --> 01:05:44.090 um,

919 01:05:44.090 --> 01:05:48.880 being introduced here because it seems to have caused some of the ips concern 920 01:05:49.230 --> 01:05:53.240 that it's hybridized a methodology. Um, 921 01:05:53.560 --> 01:05:55.600 I think it's useful to bring that ad up front, 922 01:05:55.600 --> 01:05:59.560 because if we can settle that down, we can move on, I think more effectively. 923 01:06:03.150 --> 01:06:05.160 Yeah. So James, James Hannah, A B p, um, 924 01:06:07.670 --> 01:06:12.280 Following, um, uh, well, following my review of the, 925 01:06:12.280 --> 01:06:16.120 of the documentation and, um, taken into consideration the, the, 926 01:06:16.180 --> 01:06:20.560 the use of the I M O, um, methodology, which is, 927 01:06:20.560 --> 01:06:25.000 which is referred to within the code, and looking at the, 928 $01:06:25.060 \rightarrow 01:06:29.040$ the methodology that's also used within MGN 6 54, 929 01:06:29.040 --> 01:06:33.880 which is also M G M, um, sorry, uh, uh, I m o, uh, five, 930 01:06:33.910 --> 01:06:37.960 five step f s a, I don't believe there's a mixing methodologies. Um, 931 01:06:39.070 --> 01:06:43.960 it's, it's, it more, more of the, um, application of the,

932 01:06:43.980 --> 01:06:46.720 the marine guidance note in its full context. Mm-hmm. But, um, 933 01:06:46.820 --> 01:06:47.960 if you're using the, 934 01:06:48.100 --> 01:06:52.160 the methodology to just define how you are undertaking your, 935 01:06:52.160 --> 01:06:55.520 your risk assessment and your, your, your lev your levels of tolerance, 936 01:06:55.990 --> 01:06:59.320 then that the, there are similarities across the board. 937 01:07:00.010 --> 01:07:03.360 Thank you. I'll ask the, um, ips to comment on that in a moment, 938 01:07:03.420 --> 01:07:06.920 but thank you very much. Uh, next, um, 939 01:07:10.850 --> 01:07:15.480 there has been a comment, uh, for I think probably, 940 01:07:16.060 --> 01:07:19.840 um, I think some, some value that the 941 01:07:21.600 --> 01:07:25.720 N R A as reported in the application, um, 942 01:07:25.790 --> 01:07:29.360 doesn't explicitly refer to the, uh, 943 01:07:29.360 --> 01:07:34.360 port of Ingham's standing in ra, which I think is pr. 944 01:07:34.820 --> 01:07:38.720 If I can ask you to just elaborate your presentation earlier on

945 01:07:41.100 --> 01:07:44.680 in relation to the annual and then triannual 946 01:07:46.550 --> 01:07:49.840 reviews that are undertaken, um, 947 01:07:50.220 --> 01:07:53.560 is obviously an evolving N R A. 948 01:07:54.460 --> 01:07:55.560 So here's the question. 949 01:07:56.100 --> 01:08:00.440 How does the application n r a fit with the port's M R N R A? 950 01:08:05.390 --> 01:08:09.360 It's James, uh, a b p. Um, the, uh, 951 01:08:10.460 --> 01:08:13.640 the navigation or the navigation risk assessment used for the, um, 952 01:08:13.790 --> 01:08:18.600 application of the, the, the consent is, is um, will inform, uh, the, 953 01:08:18.620 --> 01:08:21.960 the nr, the, the nras or the, the formal risk assessment, 954 01:08:21.970 --> 01:08:25.640 which is currently in place for operations within the, within the port. 955 01:08:25.700 --> 01:08:30.280 So that will then go on to form changes and amendments to the safety 956 01:08:30.280 --> 01:08:33.840 management system as, as as appropriate. So there is a, 957 01:08:33.920 --> 01:08:36.760 a direct feed in to the, the formal, uh,

958 01:08:36.790 --> 01:08:39.600 risk assessment process that the port works at operational level. 959 01:08:40.140 --> 01:08:43.640 So 'cause it is a change in, in, in the operation of the port. So that, 960 $01:08:43.640 \rightarrow 01:08:46.280$ that's the direct tie tie in and where, where it is a, 961 01:08:46.300 --> 01:08:50.160 an involved in closed loop operation where you, you review and keep, 962 01:08:50.230 --> 01:08:54.440 keep it under constant review the methodology that was used, 963 01:08:54.710 --> 01:08:58.840 aligned with the methodology that's used with it, with the port. So it, 964 01:08:58.900 --> 01:09:03.880 it should be able to directly feed into, to, uh, to their, their, uh, their, 965 01:09:03.880 --> 01:09:05.280 their, their safety management system. 966 01:09:05.770 --> 01:09:06.603 Thank you Mr. Heaven. 967 01:09:22.770 --> 01:09:24.870 In terms of governance, uh, 968 01:09:25.470 --> 01:09:29.590 I think that I'd like to get out in the open, uh, 969 01:09:30.890 --> 01:09:35.590 uh, our lack of understanding of where the 970 01:09:36.050 --> 01:09:36.883 duties

971 01:09:38.000 --> 01:09:42.870 start and end and where indeed they may indeed overlap between the 972 01:09:42.870 --> 01:09:47.230 Port of Ingham as statutory Harbor authority and the 973 01:09:47.590 - > 01:09:50.830Humber statutory Harbor Authority. Um, 974 01:09:52.410 --> 01:09:55.870 is there any easy and quick way for you is to, to give us a briefing on that? 975 01:09:58.940 --> 01:10:01.430 Well, James Storm for a v p, uh, 976 01:10:01.930 --> 01:10:04.950 so I think we've undertaken to provide you with a, 977 01:10:06.110 --> 01:10:10.990 a guide Yeah. Or note, and I haven't got that with me now, 978 01:10:11.370 --> 01:10:11.850 but I, 979 01:10:11.850 --> 01:10:16.110 we are very conscious that I think you raised that on the indeed first day. 980 01:10:16.490 --> 01:10:19.790 We can, we could explain it orally now, uh, 981 01:10:20.530 --> 01:10:25.030 or we'll provide the note, um, if it would help. But, 982 01:10:25.050 --> 01:10:29.470 but there is obviously there they a num those two 983 01:10:29.470 --> 01:10:34.270 authorities and there is an interaction of course, as you've

identified. 984 01:10:35.050 --> 01:10:37.310 And, uh, it does, uh, 985 01:10:37.310 --> 01:10:42.030 and indeed the Harbor Master may want to comment on, on that due course, but, 986 01:10:42.490 --> 01:10:46.350 uh, I, unless you want the explanation now, I, 987 01:10:46.830 --> 01:10:51.070 I was gonna suggest we did it in written form, but I'll leave that into you, 988 01:10:53.290 --> 01:10:54.150 you can, yeah, 989 01:11:02.830 --> 01:11:04.450 My sense is, uh, 990 01:11:05.990 --> 01:11:10.730 pretty much the same as yours that we should move on. But let's make, 991 01:11:10.990 --> 01:11:14.280 uh, an opportunity just now for Captain Furman to just say, 992 01:11:14.420 --> 01:11:18.360 is there anything you'd like to add to that at this stage before we move 993 01:11:18.360 --> 01:11:19.193 forward? 994 01:11:21.000 --> 01:11:25.040 Victoria Hutter on behalf of the Harbor Master. Um, so we're very ha uh, 995 01:11:25.090 --> 01:11:27.800 happy to have input into that note. I mean, if it would help,

996 01:11:27.920 --> 01:11:32.160 I can give you a summary of the Harbor Master for the Humber statutory 997 01:11:32.160 --> 01:11:35.240 authority. I can't talk to anyone else's statutory authority, 998 01:11:35.260 --> 01:11:36.600 but if that would help, I can do that now. 999 01:11:38.180 --> 01:11:43.040 Um, I think if, unless it's a, 1000 01:11:43.440 --> 01:11:45.480 a comment on what we've already heard, 1001 01:11:45.730 --> 01:11:49.560 could I ask you to hold that in reserve? Um, 1002 01:11:49.700 --> 01:11:54.680 and I think I'm eager to hear from other ips and I think it may 1003 01:11:54.680 --> 01:11:59.640 be appropriate for you to bring that comment in later on. Thank you. 1004 01:12:00.380 --> 01:12:03.080 So thank you. I, I was going to put it as part of our opening remarks, 1005 01:12:03.100 --> 01:12:05.320 if we were invited to do so, that might be appropriate. 1006 01:12:06.620 --> 01:12:07.880 So, um, 1007 01:12:10.910 --> 01:12:15.880 bear in mind that we flagged this on, uh, Tuesday and that it's underway. 1008 01:12:16.260 --> 01:12:20.000

Um, we look forward to the written submission, but, uh, 1009 01:12:20.540 --> 01:12:24.400 we may come back to this issue of overlap later on, 1010 01:12:24.460 --> 01:12:28.120 but I think we'll not prejudge that. Now. 1011 01:12:32.340 --> 01:12:37.280 The only other question I would like to just, uh, 1012 01:12:37.380 --> 01:12:41.560 ask now while we're trying to get scoping here, 1013 01:12:42.500 --> 01:12:47.240 um, and this may involve another question to, uh, 1014 01:12:47.240 --> 01:12:49.760 captain Ferman, is 1015 01:12:52.180 --> 01:12:55.120 we have n navigation risk. We have the, um, the, the, 1016 01:12:55.120 --> 01:12:56.280 the safety management system, 1017 01:12:57.060 --> 01:13:00.240 but we also have dynamic risk assessment and judgments that are made, 1018 01:13:01.980 --> 01:13:03.960 you know, in the moment. 1019 01:13:05.260 --> 01:13:09.960 And I'd like to understand a little more about, um, how this, 1020 01:13:10.180 --> 01:13:10.450 uh, 1021 01:13:10.450 --> 01:13:15.280 takes place both in arrival and departure maneuvers

1022 01:13:16.260 --> 01:13:20.800 and who makes safety decisions if a pilot is not on board. Now, 1023 01:13:21.000 --> 01:13:25.240 I think I can anticipate the answer to that as being the master of the vessel, 1024 01:13:25.340 --> 01:13:29.680 but it's perhaps not quite as simple as as that in itself. 1025 01:13:30.260 --> 01:13:35.160 And how does this play into the overall governance of safety 1026 01:13:35.660 --> 01:13:36.640 within the port of 1027 01:13:44.700 --> 01:13:48.440 We James Strong for a v p? So it, uh, 1028 01:13:48.780 --> 01:13:53.560 we think it may be better if you hear from the Captain Furman on 1029 01:13:53.700 --> 01:13:57.800 on that, because we can certainly give a, our, 1030 01:13:57.940 --> 01:13:59.920 our understanding of course. 1031 01:14:00.540 --> 01:14:05.400 But the specific question you're asking about the difference between having 1032 01:14:05.520 --> 01:14:10.280 a pilot on board or not and how that 1033 01:14:10.280 --> 01:14:12.120 operates with the Harbor Master. 1034 01:14:13.040 --> 01:14:16.800 I think Captain Furman will be able to give you, uh, a more

1035 01:14:17.450 --> 01:14:18.060 Thank you, 1036 01:14:18.060 --> 01:14:18.893 Better answer. 1037 01:14:21.100 - > 01:14:23.560Uh, Victoria Hutton for the Humber Harbor Master. 1038 01:14:23.820 --> 01:14:26.120 So I may hand over to Captain Furman at the moment, but, 1039 01:14:26.900 --> 01:14:29.680 so in terms of piloting that, um, 1040 01:14:30.190 --> 01:14:34.920 it's the pilot of Jack 1987, which governs the piloting in practice, 1041 01:14:35.180 --> 01:14:39.480 uh, certain vessels require pilots to be aboard. 1042 01:14:41.060 --> 01:14:43.000 May, may I stop you? Yes, of course. Um, 1043 01:14:43.570 --> 01:14:47.920 let's stick specifically on the background to, uh, 1044 01:14:48.430 --> 01:14:52.160 whether it's, uh, the, um, 1045 01:14:52.300 --> 01:14:53.480 the provision of pilotage. 1046 01:14:54.020 --> 01:14:58.880 The specific question is who makes the decisions in 1047 01:14:58.880 --> 01:15:03.000 the moment, uh, during birthing and, uh, departure maneuvers? 1048

01:15:03.710 --> 01:15:08.160 Well, so well, I'll hand over to Captain Furman then to add to that. 1049 01:15:10.630 --> 01:15:14.240 Yeah. Good afternoon, sir. Andrew Furman Harbor Master Humber. Um, 1050 01:15:14.780 --> 01:15:19.040 the pilotage directions require that the vessels of over 60 meters would have a 1051 01:15:19.040 --> 01:15:23.840 pilot onboard or a, a pilotage exemption certificate holder. Um, 1052 01:15:24.320 --> 01:15:28.560 a vessel without either of those on board would, would be navigating illegally. 1053 01:15:29.420 --> 01:15:31.400 Um, so that I'm not, 1054 01:15:32.360 --> 01:15:36.480 I can't quite see the circumstance there. Where, what do you're thinking is, 1055 01:15:36.500 --> 01:15:39.160 is that the answer to the question or, or am I not seeing the circumstance? 1056 01:15:39.160 --> 01:15:39.993 Sorry. 1057 01:15:40.660 --> 01:15:42.520 The, um, the, 1058 01:15:42.860 --> 01:15:47.440 I'm really trying to get to the question of who makes the 1059 01:15:47.640 --> 01:15:48.560 decisions, uh, 1060 01:15:50.300 --> 01:15:54.880 on arrival or departure from a birth. Uh, if

1061 01:15:56.540 --> 01:16:00.920 the dynamic risk risk assessment is that the maneuver is unsafe, 1062 01:16:01.700 --> 01:16:05.200 is it taken on board or is it taken by V T Ss? 1063 01:16:07.420 --> 01:16:11.880 So some, uh, parameters are fixed. So if, um, weather parameters, 1064 01:16:11.880 --> 01:16:16.320 title parameters, if the sailing was within, uh, fixed parameters and, um, 1065 01:16:16.370 --> 01:16:21.320 rules, then that would be taken on board by the, uh, pilot p e c and master. 1066 01:16:22.330 --> 01:16:24.280 Thank you. Um, 1067 01:16:26.340 --> 01:16:29.400 is the Port of Immingham, uh, 1068 01:16:29.460 --> 01:16:31.600 as Harbor Authority involved in that process? 1069 01:16:34.060 --> 01:16:37.400 Yes, it is. The arrival and sailing parameters are set by the, 1070 $01:16:37.540 \rightarrow 01:16:42.480$ the Dock Master in Consult consultation with ourselves as that area is part of 1071 01:16:42.480 --> 01:16:46.920 our competent Harbor area. Um, so we, we have the pilotage, so that is a, 1072 01:16:47.000 --> 01:16:49.480 a collaborative. Um, we have a, 1073

01:16:49.480 --> 01:16:51.600 we're a consultee in that safety management system. 1074 01:16:52.810 --> 01:16:55.360 Thank you. Uh, I think I understand that. 1075 01:16:55.820 --> 01:16:59.720 So effectively the Dock Master is 1076 01:17:00.700 --> 01:17:05.440 in liaison with V T s under your JU 1077 01:17:05.440 --> 01:17:10.440 jurisdiction, uh, collaborative in, if you like, um, 1078 01:17:10.940 --> 01:17:14.440 the, the framework for birthing and departure movements, 1079 01:17:15.700 --> 01:17:19.040 the decisions are normally taken when, 1080 01:17:19.470 --> 01:17:24.440 when within parameters on board by either pilot 1081 01:17:24.700 --> 01:17:29.600 or the master with pilot exemption certificate. Is, is that a correct summary 1082 01:17:31.910 --> 01:17:34.160 That that's a correct summary? Um, unless, 1083 01:17:34.160 --> 01:17:37.160 unless somebody else has a reason as as to why that might be, 1084 01:17:37.160 --> 01:17:38.760 if the Dock Master has, has a problem, 1085 01:17:38.940 --> 01:17:41.440 but if it's an onboard decision that is taken onboard. 1086 01:17:42.750 --> 01:17:47.280

Okay. Thank you. Now, I think it's probably timely then to, 1087 01:17:47.700 --> 01:17:48.533 uh, 1088 01:17:50.180 --> 01:17:53.280 ask I o OT to make their representation. 1089 01:17:58.570 --> 01:17:59.403 Thank you, sir. 1090 01:18:01.730 --> 01:18:03.680 David Alvin for I o t. 1091 01:18:08.350 --> 01:18:12.770 So beginning with just a few comments on Mr. 1092 01:18:13.010 --> 01:18:16.610 Strand's initial remarks about, uh, 1093 01:18:16.670 --> 01:18:20.570 the production of an N R A and its role, uh, 1094 01:18:20.570 --> 01:18:24.010 with the statutory Harbor Authority. The, the simple fact is, 1095 01:18:24.030 --> 01:18:27.130 is whether or not that may, uh, he may be right. 1096 01:18:27.720 --> 01:18:31.970 This is an application for consent and for that consent to be granted, 1097 01:18:32.110 --> 01:18:36.850 it must be demonstrated that what is being proposed is appropriate and 1098 01:18:37.000 --> 01:18:41.730 safe. There is also at play the agent of change principle. 1099 01:18:41.840 --> 01:18:45.570

That is to say a b p wishes for its own purposes 1100 01:18:46.750 --> 01:18:49.410 to, uh, develop the new row row facility. 1101 01:18:50.950 --> 01:18:55.890 It must demonstrate that it is not having an adverse effect on the safety 1102 01:18:56.910 --> 01:19:01.770 or the operational, um, or adverse effects on the operations, 1103 01:19:02.350 --> 01:19:07.050 uh, of existing facilities at the port. And of course, 1104 01:19:07.190 --> 01:19:10.890 I'm particularly concerned about, uh, the, uh, 1105 01:19:11.450 --> 01:19:13.290 terminal and the oil refineries. 1106 01:19:14.150 --> 01:19:16.570 We are not commercial competitors with a B P. 1107 01:19:17.310 --> 01:19:22.090 We are simply seeking to ensure that our operations remain 1108 01:19:22.240 --> 01:19:23.610 safe and efficient. 1109 01:19:29.330 --> 01:19:31.230 Our view overall, which you will have, 1110 01:19:31.370 --> 01:19:35.550 and I'll touch on some of the headline points in a moment, you will see 1111 01:19:37.340 --> 01:19:40.230 from the, uh, the our pad, uh, 1112 01:19:40.230 --> 01:19:45.150

and our relevant representations are that although A B P seeks 1113 01:19:45.170 --> 01:19:49.510 to maintain that they have done what is needed adequately, 1114 01:19:50.170 --> 01:19:54.750 we do not agree, and you'll have seen the detail of the matters, uh, uh, 1115 01:19:54.750 --> 01:19:57.190 in the pad had, uh, 1116 01:19:57.250 --> 01:20:01.910 and we will be producing our own N R A for 1117 01:20:02.150 --> 01:20:02.983 deadline two, 1118 01:20:04.120 --> 01:20:08.800 Which will not be consistent with the conclusions reached by a b p 1119 01:20:09.180 --> 01:20:10.040 on current showing 1120 01:20:11.850 --> 01:20:16.400 there has been a failure to grapple with important methodological 1121 01:20:16.900 --> 01:20:18.200 issues and detail. 1122 01:20:20.600 --> 01:20:25.560 I also note that Mr. Strawn has referred you, 1123 01:20:25.740 --> 01:20:26.020 uh, 1124 01:20:26.020 --> 01:20:30.880 and seeking to create a picture that a B P has followed all the due 1125 01:20:30.950 --> 01:20:33.160 processes that it's reported to its board.

1126 01:20:33.160 --> 01:20:37.600 It's entirely satisfied to a number of documents which are not available to us 1127 01:20:37.700 --> 01:20:40.440 at the moment, such as the Ms M s, 1128 01:20:40.570 --> 01:20:43.840 which we have requested and been denied access to. 1129 01:20:46.220 --> 01:20:50.920 If a B P wishes to maintain that it is carried out a 1130 01:20:51.070 --> 01:20:52.960 prudent, robust, 1131 01:20:53.460 --> 01:20:56.600 and responsible audit of navigational safety, 1132 01:20:57.270 --> 01:21:01.800 then it should have the carriage of its convictions and put these documents into 1133 01:21:01.800 --> 01:21:04.880 the examination library. It has not done so. 1134 01:21:06.780 --> 01:21:09.040 And can I, just by way of example, 1135 01:21:09.040 --> 01:21:11.400 because there has been correspondence on this issue, 1136 01:21:11.400 --> 01:21:13.640 and I dare say that will continue. 1137 01:21:14.060 --> 01:21:18.880 We requested the Ms ms in the middle of May and were told it was 1138 01:21:18.880 --> 01:21:19.713 confidential.

1139 01:21:20.750 --> 01:21:24.440 That appears to us to run contrary, 1140 01:21:25.700 --> 01:21:28.680 uh, to the provisions of the, uh, P M S C. 1141 01:21:29.900 --> 01:21:34.640 And you will know, sir, um, the necessity for a formal risk assessment, 1142 01:21:35.540 --> 01:21:40.080 uh, and the continuing duty to implement an M S M S 1143 01:21:41.060 --> 01:21:43.000 and that, uh, 1144 01:21:43.270 --> 01:21:46.560 organizations should consult as appropriate, 1145 01:21:46.610 --> 01:21:50.720 those likely to be involved in or in affected by the M S M S. 1146 01:21:50.940 --> 01:21:53.480 We have not been consulted. We have not seen it, 1147 01:21:53.980 --> 01:21:58.240 and a B P says we're not entitled to see it because for some reason, 1148 01:21:58.320 --> 01:22:01.040 which is entirely unclear, it's said to be confidential. 1149 01:22:02.540 --> 01:22:03.680 As for the opportunity, 1150 01:22:03.690 --> 01:22:08.600 which paragraph two 17 of the code states to develop a consensus about safe 1151 01:22:08.600 --> 01:22:09.433 navigation,

1152 01:22:10.220 --> 01:22:15.000 how can a B P even begin to approach meeting that requirement of the code unless 1153 01:22:15.000 --> 01:22:19.280 they disclose the basis of upon which they are regularly conducting their safety 1154 01:22:19.280 --> 01:22:21.200 reviews? So sir, 1155 01:22:21.560 --> 01:22:25.600 I do not accept for one moment that A B P has put into the public arena 1156 01:22:26.320 --> 01:22:29.800 sufficient information, and that is just one, uh, important example. 1157 01:22:31.180 --> 01:22:33.640 You asked a question, uh, of Mr. 1158 01:22:34.080 --> 01:22:37.640 Hanham about how the N R A, um, 1159 01:22:37.920 --> 01:22:42.080 compares with the ongoing safety, uh, uh, risk assessment. 1160 01:22:42.740 --> 01:22:44.760 Mr. Hanham actually didn't answer your question. 1161 01:22:44.950 --> 01:22:48.520 What he said was that they would be adjusting it, uh, 1162 01:22:48.520 --> 01:22:51.240 in the light of anything that comes outta this examination. 1163 01:22:51.660 --> 01:22:53.360 That's not an answer to your question. 1164 01:22:53.380 --> 01:22:55.320

The answer to your question is only produced. 1165 01:22:55.540 --> 01:22:59.280 Is it only going to be answered by actually producing the M S M S? 1166 01:22:59.300 --> 01:23:02.280 So we can see to what degree a B P is being consistent. 1167 01:23:03.630 --> 01:23:05.320 That must be in the public interest. 1168 01:23:09.500 --> 01:23:12.560 Sir, the oil terminal, 1169 01:23:12.740 --> 01:23:15.160 as I've already mentioned briefly this morning, 1170 01:23:15.830 --> 01:23:18.080 it's a critical piece of national infrastructure. 1171 01:23:18.860 --> 01:23:23.480 The Humber and Lindsay Refineries account for 27% of the UK's 1172 01:23:23.680 --> 01:23:28.600 refining capacity. And that, of course, is dependent upon the safe, uh, 1173 01:23:28.820 --> 01:23:31.800 and continued operation of the existing berths, 1174 $01:23:32.060 \rightarrow 01:23:36.600$ the finger pier and the pipeline trunk way, which brings the oil, 1175 01:23:37.220 --> 01:23:41.600 uh, from and to the vessels in the terminal. And as you'll be aware, uh, 1176 01:23:41.920 --> 01:23:45.480 I o OT is an upper tier coma site That, of course, 1177 01:23:45.540 --> 01:23:50.480

is an issue relevant to the agents of change. Principle birth eight and nine, 1178 01:23:50.500 --> 01:23:53.960 as you heard, are located, uh, to the south of Finger Pier. 1179 01:23:53.960 --> 01:23:58.760 They're capable of handling vessels 104 meters and 61 meters l o a 1180 01:23:58.760 --> 01:24:03.120 respectively. They're smaller than the vessels on the main river berths, 1181 01:24:03.620 --> 01:24:07.880 but they are critical to the operation of the terminals and the flow of product 1182 01:24:08.320 --> 01:24:12.800 destined, uh, for other parts of the uk, both England and Scotland. 1183 01:24:13.940 --> 01:24:17.120 Access to birth aid, as you'll be aware, is a restricted to the flood tide. 1184 01:24:17.940 --> 01:24:22.480 It requires the ship's master to balance, uh, uh, uh, 1185 01:24:22.500 --> 01:24:26.720 the effects of wind and tide and may require a tug or a work boat. 1186 01:24:28.500 --> 01:24:31.200 If the proposed terminal is developed. 1187 01:24:32.060 --> 01:24:36.760 You've got a 24 7 major terminal with three berths handling 1188 01:24:36.870 --> 01:24:37.703 beds, uh, 1189 01:24:37.830 --> 01:24:42.600 vessels of up to 240 meters l o a with a beam of 35 meters.

1190 01:24:43.470 --> 01:24:47.760 It's not clear what the detailed characteristics would be in due course. 1191 01:24:49.540 --> 01:24:53.560 Uh, they may carry unaccompanied freight, accompanied freight and passengers. 1192 01:24:54.580 --> 01:24:59.240 We understand there be a minimum of one arrival and one departure early morning, 1193 01:24:59.240 --> 01:25:00.640 early evening per day. 1194 01:25:02.660 --> 01:25:07.520 The space between the proposed infrastructure of the new terminal and the I O 1195 01:25:07.640 --> 01:25:12.640 T is about 95 meters within which a tanker of 104 1196 01:25:12.700 --> 01:25:17.640 meters and associated tugs of work boats are required to maneuver, uh, 1197 01:25:17.640 --> 01:25:22.440 with strong tidal flows and crosswinds and up to three large row row 1198 01:25:22.440 --> 01:25:26.240 vessels per day would be required to maneuver in close proximity to our 1199 01:25:26.240 --> 01:25:29.600 infrastructure or our vessels, and therefore, 1200 01:25:30.360 --> 01:25:35.240 a risk of impact on tankers, uh, 1201 01:25:35.620 --> 01:25:40.600 or, uh, with, uh, the jetties.

1202 01:25:40.660 --> 01:25:45.120 The finger p or the trunk way, as you can imagine, is a critical concern. 1203 01:25:45.740 --> 01:25:50.000 And against that background and against what has been said, 1204 $01:25:50.140 \rightarrow 01:25:51.960$ our key areas of concern are this, 1205 01:25:51.960 --> 01:25:55.760 and they go to the points that you've set out in, in the agenda, 1206 01:25:56.780 --> 01:26:01.400 the underlying data. We say sup supporting the N R A. Uh, 1207 01:26:01.430 --> 01:26:02.280 were not shared, 1208 01:26:02.310 --> 01:26:06.920 including the characteristics of the I E R R T vessels and the 1209 01:26:07.320 --> 01:26:08.153 incident data. 1210 01:26:09.700 --> 01:26:13.920 The operations and design of the terminal are not well-defined, 1211 01:26:14.350 --> 01:26:19.200 such as tug youth birthing, sorry, my teeth are coming loose. Tug use, 1212 01:26:19.520 --> 01:26:22.480 birthing duration, met ocean limits, 1213 01:26:22.480 --> 01:26:25.400 characteristics and limits of risk controls and other features. 1214 01:26:26.460 --> 01:26:30.960 The standards and limits of acceptability are not well-defined and they do not

1215 01:26:30.960 --> 01:26:34.760 appear to align with the coma H s e standards 1216 01:26:35.860 --> 01:26:38.520 as such. Uh, and we have provided, uh, uh, 1217 01:26:38.960 --> 01:26:43.400 relevant portions of the coma material to a b p. As such, 1218 01:26:43.400 --> 01:26:47.920 there is a disconnect between the limits of tolerability between I o Т 1219 01:26:48.260 --> 01:26:50.160 and A B P. And indeed, 1220 01:26:50.160 --> 01:26:53.920 limits of tolerability will have to factor in because of the risk to an upper 1221 01:26:53.920 --> 01:26:58.840 tear coma site. Uh, consideration of that particular issue. 1222 01:27:00.660 --> 01:27:04.920 The workshops did not facilitate contrary to ABPs contentions, 1223 01:27:04.940 --> 01:27:06.360 the input of all stakeholders. 1224 01:27:07.220 --> 01:27:12.200 And our view is that no attempt was made to reach consensus on the key issue 1225 01:27:12.200 --> 01:27:13.033 of tolerability. 1226 01:27:15.210 --> 01:27:20.200 Additional risk controls were identified and considered effective by А

1227

01:27:20.280 --> 01:27:21.113 ΒP, 1228 01:27:21.810 --> 01:27:26.360 which are already considered to be part of normal operations and are therefore 1229 01:27:26.380 --> 01:27:31.000 not additional other risk controls were poorly defined and we can't determine 1230 01:27:31.250 --> 01:27:35.640 their effectiveness. I say we'll present written material on this in due course. 1231 01:27:36.370 --> 01:27:39.880 Seven key risk controls such as impact protection, uh, 1232 01:27:40.110 --> 01:27:44.800 have been identified but have been discounted, uh, without any apparent, 1233 01:27:45.300 --> 01:27:47.360 uh, or evidence cost benefit analysis. 1234 01:27:49.220 --> 01:27:53.560 The navigation simulations were useful to the building of an 1235 01:27:54.380 --> 01:27:58.160 to contribute to the N R A, but the lack of design vessel detail, 1236 $01:27:58.700 \rightarrow 01:28:02.720$ the emission of wind shielding of a birthed row row gusting, 1237 01:28:03.230 --> 01:28:07.560 unrealistic emergency, uh, emergency scenario responses and technical issues, 1238 01:28:07.620 --> 01:28:12.320 we say undermine the credibility of the conclusions and they 1239 01:28:12.750 --> 01:28:15.640

only go to show what is theoretically possible, 1240 01:28:16.300 --> 01:28:20.600 not what is realistic to be dealt with on a day-to-day 1241 01:28:20.750 --> 01:28:21.920 operational basis. 1242 01:28:24.060 --> 01:28:28.160 So that really sets out in summary, uh, 1243 01:28:28.260 --> 01:28:29.120 our position. 1244 01:28:36.260 --> 01:28:41.180 We hope that we can be provided with 1245 01:28:41.200 --> 01:28:43.300 the data that we have sought. 1246 01:28:44.160 --> 01:28:48.660 You will see in paragraph or section 10 of our pad 1247 01:28:49.410 --> 01:28:54.260 that, uh, reference to the correspondence and the response, Uh, 1248 01:28:54.650 --> 01:28:57.220 from, uh, Mr. Greenwood for a b p. 1249 01:28:58.200 --> 01:29:00.700 We will clearly have to continue this correspondence, 1250 01:29:00.720 --> 01:29:03.200 but I've already made clear, uh, our position. 1251 01:29:03.300 --> 01:29:08.160 We did send a list of items including the M S M S, uh, 1252 01:29:08.160 --> 01:29:09.280 which we requested.

1253 01:29:09.820 --> 01:29:13.960 It seems quite clear that a B P are relying upon their own internal processes to 1254 01:29:13.960 --> 01:29:15.320 tell you that everything is fine. 1255 $01:29:16.020 \rightarrow 01:29:20.400$ But that is something which ought to be examined and available to us to 1256 01:29:20.600 --> 01:29:25.320 consider. It may prove to be, uh, as a b p says, 1257 01:29:25.340 --> 01:29:28.720 but without seeing it, we simply don't know. Mr. Hanham's, 1258 01:29:28.840 --> 01:29:32.400 avoiding the issue doesn't fill me, uh, with, uh, 1259 01:29:33.000 --> 01:29:33.920 a sense of optimism. 1260 01:29:43.330 --> 01:29:44.163 Let's check. 1261 01:29:49.260 --> 01:29:52.200 We have advanced additional protective provisions. 1262 01:29:52.320 --> 01:29:55.600 I think we've said in the pad and in our relevant representations. 1263 01:29:56.270 --> 01:30:00.720 They are as, uh, uh, appears to be the case not accepted. 1264 01:30:01.300 --> 01:30:04.720 The difficulty is, is to know whether they're acceptable or not. 1265 01:30:05.220 --> 01:30:10.000 You haven't got the data on methodology tolerability in particular, uh,

1266 01:30:10.140 --> 01:30:14.200 and key elements going into the risk assessment to enable you to reach a 1267 01:30:14.360 --> 01:30:18.360 judgment. Nor have we, we have to proceed on the basis of what we've qot, 1268 01:30:18.900 --> 01:30:23.280 unless of course, a B P decides to produce that material. So, 1269 01:30:23.300 --> 01:30:27.680 so we are in a position which we would've not wished to be in. It is not, 1270 01:30:28.300 --> 01:30:32.840 uh, IOT's desire to be here raising objections to, 1271 01:30:33.260 --> 01:30:34.760 uh, the proposals, 1272 01:30:35.140 --> 01:30:38.440 but we have to protect our position and the national interest, 1273 01:30:38.690 --> 01:30:42.040 which is represented by the facility which we provide. 1274 01:30:43.460 --> 01:30:48.200 So that's all by way of summary remarks. Thank you, 1275 01:30:50.460 --> 01:30:54.920 Ms. Roland. Thank you for that, uh, vigorous representation. Um, 1276 01:30:55.480 --> 01:31:00.000 I have a sense that this is timely moment for a recess. 1277 01:31:01.140 --> 01:31:01.970 Uh, 1278 01:31:01.970 --> 01:31:06.320

there is obviously quite a big gap between the positions that we've heard this 1279 01:31:06.320 --> 01:31:08.600 afternoon. Um, if we have, 1280 01:31:08.980 --> 01:31:12.560 and I think that in the interest of moving swiftly through the afternoon, 1281 01:31:12.650 --> 01:31:15.760 could we re restrict this to a 10 minute recess? 1282 01:31:17.910 --> 01:31:22.370 Yep. Um, let's regather at, uh, 1283 01:31:26.710 --> 01:31:28.170 no, 10 minutes, 20. 1284 01:31:28.680 --> 01:31:30.170 It's very time to come back. 1285 01:31:31.940 --> 01:31:34.570 Let's, uh, let's make it just over 10 minutes. 1286 01:31:34.740 --> 01:31:39.370 We'll make it 1600 to re re return. Thank you very much. 1287 01:31:39.670 --> 01:31:44.570 And I'm going to ask the applicant to make a quick response to those points 1288 01:31:44.570 --> 01:31:49.290 because I think that will be helpful as a preface to the other ips. So, 1289 01:31:49.310 --> 01:31:52.730 So could I, sorry, Isabella, for d ft s, could I just make a, 1290 01:31:53.090 --> 01:31:56.170 a brief suggestion? So obviously I appreciate this is your examination,

1291 01:31:56.590 --> 01:32:00.280 but given that some of the points at least that we have to raise, 1292 01:32:01.460 --> 01:32:06.320 uh, align with, um, some of the points that the I OT have made, 1293 $01:32:06.880 \rightarrow 01:32:11.120$ I wonder if it might make sense for us to make our summary position first, 1294 01:32:11.740 --> 01:32:11.980 uh, 1295 01:32:11.980 --> 01:32:15.520 and then give the applicant a chance to respond rather than dealing with those 1296 01:32:15.520 --> 01:32:16.360 issues twice. 1297 01:32:17.530 --> 01:32:21.760 Thank you for the suggestion. Uh, I do, I'm very well aware that there's a, 1298 01:32:21.840 --> 01:32:24.880 a significant overlap, a very significant overlap. Um, 1299 01:32:25.360 --> 01:32:30.200 I think what we want to do is to make sure we don't lose the thread of argument, 1300 01:32:30.580 --> 01:32:32.280 but, um, let's have a con, 1301 01:32:32.330 --> 01:32:35.720 we'll consider it in the recess and let you know when we get back. Thank you.